



Occurrence and Distribution of Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Mungbean in Jaipur District, Rajasthan, India

Rahul Meena ^{a++}, B.S. Chandrawat ^{b#*}, Manisha ^{c++}
and R.L. Midha ^{at}

^a Division of Nematology, Rajasthan Agriculture Research Institute, Jaipur, SKN Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur- 303329, Rajasthan, India.

^b Department of Nematology, SKN College of Agriculture, SKN Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur- 303329, Rajasthan, India.

^c Department of Nematology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/arrb/2024/v39i72096>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119178>

Original Research Article

Received: 17/04/2024

Accepted: 22/06/2024

Published: 03/07/2024

ABSTRACT

Aim: Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) is one of the important pulse crops grown in India. Considering the economic importance of nematodes as disease causing organism of mungbean the present investigation was carried out to know the distribution of plant parasitic nematodes in mungbean crops in Jaipur district of Rajasthan.

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Retired Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: brijrcanemat@gmail.com;

Cite as: Meena, Rahul, B.S. Chandrawat, Manisha, and R.L. Midha. 2024. "Occurrence and Distribution of Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Mungbean in Jaipur District, Rajasthan, India". *Annual Research & Review in Biology* 39 (7):32-39. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arrb/2024/v39i72096>.

Methodology: A total 139 soil samples were collected from mungbean fields of 12 different localities in Jaipur district. The samples were processed using Cobb's sieving and decanting technique followed by Baermann's funnel technique and nematode population was counted in suspension and identified on the basis of their morphological characters.

Results: The samples were infected with mainly four genera of plant parasitic nematodes i.e., *Meloidogyne* spp., *Helicotylenchus* spp., *Pratylenchus* spp. and *Tylenchorhynchus* spp. etc. Out of 139 soil samples, 120 samples showed the presence of *Meloidogyne javanica* with 86.33 % occurrence, 18.82 % absolute density, 83.81 % relative density and 15.85 % prominence value and found most predominant in different surveyed localities in Jaipur district.

Keywords: Nematode; distribution; occurrence; mungbean, pulses.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Pulses are one of the important food crops grown globally for their higher protein content. Pulses improve soil fertility and physical structure and provide nutritious fodder for cattle feed" [1]. "All the pulses have the ability to fix nitrogen by association with rhizobium 58-109 kg/ha" [2]. "The pulse crops cover an area of about 30.73 million hectares with an annual production of 27.30 million tones and productivity is 888 kg/hectare" [3]. "India is one of the largest producers and consumers of pulses in the world. Although, is the largest pulse crop cultivating country in the World, pulses share to total food-grain production is only 9%" [3]. "Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) is one of India's important pulse crops, commonly known as green gram or mung, moong, mungo, golden gram" [4-7]. "In India, it is grown as pure, inter crop/mixed crop or as a relay crop in rice fallows during summer or spring season, in almost all parts of the country such as Orissa, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Karnataka, Assam and Uttar Pradesh" [8]. "Mung bean is an excellent source of:- low cost and high-quality protein" [9]. The production of mung bean is limited by several constraints including pests and diseases. Among the various pest and diseases plant parasitic nematodes are one of the major constraints in reducing both the quality and quantity of the crops. Plant parasitic nematodes are termed as hidden enemies of plants.

"Among nematode diseases of pulse crops, root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) are the common important group of plant parasitic disease caused by *M. incognita* and *M. javanica* on mung bean was first reported by Singh, 1972 in India. Avoidable losses under field conditions due to *M. javanica* in mung bean ranged from

42.1 to 93.4%" [10]. "Similarly, the yield loss of 18 to 65% due to *M. incognita* and 23 to 49% by *M. javanica* on mung bean have been reported from Uttar Pradesh" [11]. "Crop loss due to *M. incognita* in green gram has been reported 8.90%" by Khan et al. [12]. However, Jain et al. [13] reported "*Meloidogyne incognita*, *Meloidogyne Javanica*, *Heterodera cajani* and *Rotylenchulus reniformis* are the serious nematode pathogen attacking black gram and green gram inducing 8.90% yield loss with 162 million rupees as a monetary loss". "A significant reduction in plant growth, nodulation and nitrogen content of the shoot and root has been observed in mung beans infested with *M. incognita*" [14,15]. The infectivity and losses caused by root-knot nematodes in mungbean may vary due to location and regional differences in soil conditions and environmental variations.

Therefore, keeping the above aspects in view, the present investigation was planned to study the survey of plant parasitic nematodes on mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) in Jaipur district of Rajasthan.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

I. Survey

Survey was conducted for the presence of root-knot nematode and other phytonematodes infesting mungbean from various localities in Jaipur district during August- September 2019.

II. Soil Sampling

A total 139 soil samples were collected from mungbean grown field in different localities of Jaipur district. The samples were collected with the help of khurpi from the feeder – root zone of plants at 6" to 9" depth. The samples were

brought to the laboratory in polythene bags, tied with rubber band and tagged with supporting information viz.-date of sampling, locality, host plant, temperature, soil type etc. soil samples were stored in refrigerator at about 10°C. The samples were processed within a week.

III. Processing of Samples

To isolate the nematodes, each soil sample was processed by Cobb's sieving and decanting technique [16] followed by Baermann's funnel technique [17]

A 200cc soil sample was put in a bowl half filled with water and mixed thoroughly to make it homogenous and was left for 5 – 10 minutes to allow heavy particles to settle down at the bottom. The mixture was sieved into another bowl through a coarse sieve (16 mesh) to remove the debris and other undesirable particles. The suspension in the second bowl was then poured through sieves in a sequence, firstly 60 mesh followed by 100, 200 and 400 mesh sieves. The water flowing through 400 mesh sieve was allowed to run down and residue on the sieve was collected in a beaker. The process was repeated twice / thrice for complete recovery of nematodes.

The nematode suspension obtained by sieving was poured on wire gauge containing double layer tissue paper placed on Baermann funnel holding sufficient water in contact with the bottom of the gauge.

After 24 hrs the suspension was drawn in a beaker from the funnel and kept for some time to allow the nematode to settle down. The numbers of various plant parasitic nematode species were counted in 5 ml of suspension of each sample with the help of a counting dish under stereoscopic binocular microscope.

IV. Per Cent Occurrence

The frequency of occurrence % of the root-knot nematode in each locality was calculated by the following formula: -

- (a) Percentage of occurrence = (Number of samples containing a species/ Total no. of samples collected) X 100
- (b) Absolute density = (No. of individuals of a species in a sample / volume of sample) X 100

(c) Relative density = (No. of individuals of a species in a sample / Total of all individual in a sample) X 100

(d) Prominence value = (Absolute density X $\sqrt{\text{Absolute frequency}}$) / 100

3. RESULTS

A survey was conducted to determine the distribution, % occurrence, % absolute density, % relative density and % prominence value of *Meloidogyne* spp. and other phytonematodes associated with mungbean in Jaipur district of Rajasthan. A total of 139 soil samples were collected from 12 different localities from mungbean fields (Tables 1,2). In this survey, a total of 139 soil samples were collected from 12 different localities from mungbean growing areas in Jaipur district in which all the samples were infected with plant parasitic nematodes. Mainly four genera of plant parasitic nematodes i.e., *Meloidogyne* spp., *Pratylenchus* spp., *Tylenchorhynchus* spp. and *Helicotylenchus* spp. etc. was found associated with mungbean in surveyed area (Tables 1,2). Out of 139 soil samples collected, 120 samples showed the presence of *Meloidogyne* spp. with 86.33 % occurrence, 18.82 % absolute density, 83.81 % relative density and 15.85 % prominence value in Jaipur district. (Table 1).

However, about presence of other phytonematodes, the *Helicotylenchus* spp. found in 90 samples with 64.74 % occurrence, 1.55 % absolute density, 6.93 % relative density and 1.0 % prominence value. Followed by *Pratylenchus* spp. found in 80 samples with 43.16 % occurrence, 1.37 % absolute density, 6.13 % relative density and 0.59 % prominence value. Whereas, The *Tylenchorhynchus* spp. found lowest in 57 samples with 41.0 % occurrence, 0.68 % absolute density, 3.04 % relative density and 0.27 % prominence value. (Table 1) Root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp. (mix population of *M. javanica* and *M. incognita*) was found most predominant species in different surveyed localities of in Jaipur district.

Among all the nematodes only root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp. was found above or near the pathogenic level in mungbean in Jaipur district. Other phytonematodes were also present in low densities below the pathogenic or economic threshold level.

Table 1. Per cent occurrence of root-knot nematode and other phytonematodes associated with mungbean in Jaipur district

| S. No. | Nematode spp. | Total Samples Collected | Samples Containing nematode spp. | Percent Occurrence | Total Population of nematode spp. | Total volume of sample | Total Population of Plant Parasitic Nematodes | Absolute Density | Relative Density | Prominence Value |
|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 139 | 120 | 86.33 | 5365 | 28495 | 6401 | 18.82 | 83.81 | 15.85 |
| 2. | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 80 | 43.16 | 393 | | | 1.37 | 6.13 | 0.59 |
| 3. | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 57 | 41.00 | 195 | | | 0.68 | 3.04 | 0.27 |
| 4. | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 90 | 64.74 | 444 | | | 1.55 | 6.93 | 1.00 |

Table 2. Population studies of root-knot nematode and other phytonematodes associated with mungbean locality wise in Jaipur district

| Locality | Nematode spp. | Total Samples Collected | Samples Containing nematode spp. | Percent Occurrence | Total Population of nematode spp. |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| L 1 Daulatpura | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 15 | 14 | 93.33 | 641 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 11 | 73.33 | 49 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 6 | 40.00 | 21 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 11 | 73.33 | 66 |
| L2 Rampura dabri | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 12 | 12 | 100.00 | 599 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 8 | 66.66 | 51 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 4 | 33.33 | 11 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 9 | 75.00 | 53 |
| L 3 Lalpura | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 14 | 13 | 92.85 | 617 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 7 | 50.00 | 41 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 5 | 35.71 | 19 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 8 | 57.14 | 39 |
| L 4 Sanganer | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 14 | 12 | 85.71 | 533 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 8 | 57.14 | 38 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 5 | 35.71 | 25 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 6 | 42.85 | 57 |
| L 5 Bassi | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 12 | 9 | 75.00 | 382 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 7 | 58.33 | 18 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 6 | 50.00 | 18 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 5 | 41.66 | 28 |
| L 6 Awandiya | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 8 | 6 | 75.00 | 358 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 4 | 50.00 | 11 |

| Locality | Nematode spp. | Total Samples Collected | Samples Containing nematode spp. | Percent Occurrence | Total Population of nematode spp. |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| L 7 Baseri | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | 10 | 3 | 30.00 | 9 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 7 | 87.50 | 23 |
| | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | | 10 | 100.00 | 454 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 9 | 90.00 | 53 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 5 | 50.00 | 22 |
| L 8 Goner | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | 6 | 7 | 70.00 | 27 |
| | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | | 5 | 83.33 | 207 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 2 | 33.33 | 7 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 3 | 50.00 | 9 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 4 | 66.66 | 23 |
| L 9 Jamwa Ramgarh | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 10 | 8 | 80.00 | 357 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 6 | 60.00 | 34 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 5 | 50.00 | 19 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 8 | 80.00 | 35 |
| | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | | 15 | 13 | 86.66 |
| <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | 7 | 46.66 | | 44 | |
| <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | 6 | 40.00 | | 13 | |
| <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | 12 | 80.00 | | 47 | |
| L11 Kankata | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 10 | | 7 | 70.00 |
| | <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 4 | 40.00 | 11 |
| | <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 4 | 40.00 | 16 |
| | <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 5 | 50.00 | 18 |
| | L12 Mandaliyn Meda | | <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. | 13 | 11 |
| <i>Pratylenchus</i> spp. | | 7 | 53.84 | | 36 |
| <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> spp. | | 5 | 38.46 | | 13 |
| <i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp. | | 8 | 61.53 | | 28 |

4. DISCUSSION

The present study showed that among 139 soil samples collected from mungbean fields of 12 localities were infected with mainly four genera of plant parasitic nematodes *i.e.*, *Meloidogyne* spp., *Pratylenchus* spp., *Tylenchorhynchus* spp. and *Helicotylenchus* spp. etc. Similarly, Mishra and Chakrabarti [18] reported “the association of root-knot nematode, cyst nematode, lesion nematode, reniform nematode and various ectoparasitic nematode groups with all the pulse crops in pulse producing areas of India”. Ali and Sharma (2003) observed *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica* infestations in sandy soils under rainfed and irrigated situations of Jaipur, Jhunjhunu and Swai Madhopur and estimated 20-30% yield loss in chickpea, also reported *Heterodera swarupi*, *Pratylenchus thornei* and *Pratylenchus* spp. in Rajasthan, India. Ali et al. [19] observed 174 soil samples for studying the community analysis of plant parasitic nematodes associated with pulse crops (chickpea, lentil, pigeon pea, field pea) in district Hamirpur, Uttar Pradesh. The results showed the presence of *Hoplolaimus indicus*, *Tylenchorhynchus mashhoodi*, *Xiphinema americanum*, *Filenchus* spp. in collected soil samples. Roy et al. [20] conducted a survey in West Bengal and reported *R. reniformis*, *Meloidogyne*, *Tylenchorhynchus*, *Criconemoides*, *Helicotylenchus*, *Pratylenchus*, *Hoplolaimus* and *Hirschmanniella* associated with leguminous vegetable crops. Chandrawat et al. [21] collected 245 soil samples, among them *Hoplolaimus* spp. reported in 169 samples with 68.97% of occurrence, 15.61% population density and 129.61% prominence value in and around Udaipur. Chandrawat et al. [22] reported three known species of *Hoplolaimus* (Daday, 1905) nematode (*H. tylenchiformis*, *H. indicus* and *H. columbus*) were encountered in the rhizosphere of perennial ornamentals. Chandrawat et al. [23] surveyed “14 perennial ornamentals and found that *Hoplolaimus* spp. were associated with rose, duranta, china rose, crape jasmine, acalypha, croton, jasmine, ficus, thuja, bougainvillea, anthurium, and oleander. Among them maximum population was recovered from rose (106 per 200cc soil) followed by jasmine (60 per 200cc soil), crape jasmine (35 per 200cc soil)”. Singh [24] reported “thirteen nematode genera (*Hoplolaimus*, *Tylenchorhynchus*, *Helicotylenchus*, *Tylenchus*, *Heterodera cajani*, *Pratylenchus*, *Basiria*, *Aphelenchus*, *Meloidogyne*, *Filenchus*, *Boleodorus*, *Rotylenchulus* and *Scutellonema*) associated with pigeon pea from Bundelkhand region of

Uttar Pradesh, India”. Chandrawat et al. [25] find out “distribution and Community analysis (occurrence, population density and prominence value) of *Hoplolaimus* spp. found associated with perennial ornamentals. Results indicated that out of 14 perennial ornamentals surveyed, maximum 86.53% occurrence of *Hoplolaimus* spp. was recorded on rose, while highest population density & prominence values 29.87% & 211.22% were found on jasmine”. Jena et al. [26] reported “the presence of *Meloidogyne incognita*, *Rotylenchulus reniformis*, *Hoplolaimus indicus*, *Helicotylenchus dihystra*, *Tylenchorhynchus mashhoodi*, *Hirschmanniella oryzae*, Dorylaimids and Rhabditids exhibiting varying population densities with green gram in coastal districts of Odisha” [27].

5. CONCLUSION

In the present study root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne javanica*) was found the only prominent economically important plant parasitic nematode genera above the economic threshold level affecting mungbean in Jaipur districts. This might be due to polyphagous nature of the nematode or the favourable environmental condition of this area or availability of light sandy soil which is most the favourable for growth and development of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Pulses in India: Retrospect & Prospects; 2017.
2. Singh DP, Singh BB. Breeding for tolerance to abiotic stress in mung bean, Journal of food legume. 2011;24(2).
3. Anonymous (2022-23). Pulses in India: Retrospect & Prospects -2022-23.
4. Narzikulova MF, Eshova HS, Xolboyeva MA, Mirzaliyeva GR. Prevalence of Phytonematodes Found in Potato Soil and

- Its Root System. Asian Journal of Biology 2024;20(7):17-24.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/ajob/2024/v20i7420>
5. Borthakur, Polash L., Bornali Mahanta, Aparajita Borah, Pranab Dutta. Identification of plant parasitic nematodes associated with Citrus in Dibrugarh District. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. 2024;30(4):266-73.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i41913>.
 6. Márquez LA, Gomes CB, Bellé C, Dallagnol LJ, de Araujo Filho JV. Unveiling the structure and distribution of plant-parasitic nematode communities in soybean fields in southern of the Brazil. European Journal of Plant Pathology. 2021;160:457-68.
 7. McSorley R, Dickson DW. Vertical distribution of plant-parasitic nematodes in sandy soil under maize. Plant and Soil. 1990;123:95-100.
 8. Sharma OP, Bambawal M, Gopali JB, Bhagat S, Yelshatty S, Singh SK, Anand R, Singh OP. Field guide mung bean and urd bean dept. of agriculture co-operation (GOVT. OF INDIA) and ncipm, 1:1; 2011.
 9. Taylor RS, Weaver DB, Wood C, Santen EV. Nitrogen application increases yield and early dry matter accumulation in late-planted soybean. Crop Sci. 2005;45:854-858.
 10. Gupta DC, Verma KK. Studies on available losses in mung bean due to root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* and its control under field condition. Indian J. Nematol. 1990;20:148-151.
 11. Sharma SB., Sharma HK, Pankaj. Nematodes problem in India in: Crop pest and Disease management- challenges for the millennium (Ed. D. Prasad and S.N. Puri), Joyti Publishers, New Delhi. 2000: 267-275.
 12. Khan MR, Jain RK, Singh RV, Pramanik A. Economically Important Plant Parasitic Nematodes Distribution Atlas. Directorate of Information and Publications of Agriculture, New Delhi. 2010:10.
 13. Jain RK, Mathur KN, Singh RV. Estimation of losses due to plant parasitic nematodes on different crops in India. Indian Journal Nematology. 2007;37(2):219- 221.
 14. Hussaini SS, Seshadri AR. Interrelationships between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizobium* sp. on mung bean (*Phaseolus aureus*). Indian Journal of Nematology. 1975;5:189–199.
 15. Inderjit Singh VPS, Chahal PK, Sakhuja PK, Chohan JS. Effect of different levels of *Meloidogyne incognita* in the presence or absence of *Rhizobium phaseoli* on *Phaseolus aureus*. Indian Journal of Nematology. 1977;7:172–174.
 16. Cobb NA. Estimating the nematode population of soil U. S. Dept. Agr. Bur. Plant. Ind. Agr. Tech. Cir. 1918;1:1-48.
 17. Christie JR, Perry VG. Removing nematode from soil. Proceedings of Helminthological Society of Washington. 1951;18:106-108.
 18. Mishra SD, Chakrabarti U. Distribution and intensity of nematode problems in oilseeds and pulses. National Congress on Centenary of Nematology in India appraisal and future plans. 2001:25-26.
 19. Ali SS, Pervez, Rashid, Shaheen Azra, Ahmad R. Community Analysis of plant parasitic Nematodes associated with pulse crops in Hamirpur District (Uttar Pradesh), Indian Journal of Nematology. 2006;36 (1):99-102.
 20. Roy K, Mukhopadhyay AK, Pramanik A. Occurrence, distribution and community analysis of plant parasitic nematodes associated with leguminous vegetable crops in west Bengal. Indian Journal of Nematology. 2007;37(1):58-62.
 21. Chandrawat BS, Siddiqui AU, Sharma SK. Distribution, Occurrence and Population Density of *Hoplolaimus* spp. in and around Udaipur. Indian Journal of Nematology. 2013;43 (2):142-147.
 22. Chandrawat BS, Siddiqui AU, Sharma SK. Three Known Species of *Hoplolaimus* Nematode found Associated with Perennial Ornamentals in and Around Udaipur. Indian Journal of Nematology. 2014a;44 (1):82-87.
 23. Chandrawat BS, Siddiqui AU, Sharma SK, Singh Kuldeep, Singh Hanuman. *Hoplolaimus* spp. associated with perennial ornamentals in and around Udaipur. Current Nematology. 2014b;25 (1,2):47-49.
 24. Singh RN. Root-knot disease of urd and mung in India. Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology. 1972;2:87.
 25. Chandrawat BS, Siddiqui AU, Bhati SS, Baheti BL, Rathore BS. Distribution and Community analysis of *Hoplolaimus* spp. on Perennial Ornamentals. Ecology,

- environment and conservation. 2016;22(2): 629-633
26. Jena P, Sahoo NK, Mahalik JK. Occurrence, distribution and community analysis of plant parasitic nematodes associated with green gram in coastal districts of Odisha, India. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research. 2020;7(4):1447-1451.
27. Ali SS, Sharma SB. Nematode survey of chickpea production areas in Rajasthan, India. nematol. Medit, 2003;31:147-149.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119178>