

Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International

Volume 36, Issue 11, Page 1-19, 2024; Article no.JPRI.124664 ISSN: 2456-9119, NLM ID: 101716968 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

Modulation of Tumor Suppressor Genes by Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy

Sung-Kun Kim a*, Nicol L. Nightingale ^a , Kong K. Lee ^a , Connor M. Ferlazzo ^a , Lydia E. Neff ^a and Jatindra N. Tripathy ^b

^a Department of Natural Sciences, Northeastern State University, Broken Arrow, OK 74014, USA. b Institute for Biotechnology and Genomics, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-1061, USA.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors SKK and JT managed the analyses of the study. Authors NLN, KKL, CMF and LEN managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.9734/jpri/2024/v36i117596](https://doi.org/10.9734/jpri/2024/v36i117596)

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124664>

Review Article

Received: 02/08/2024 Accepted: 04/10/2024 Published: 07/10/2024

ABSTRACT

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have emerged as promising therapeutics for various cancers due to their ability to modulate gene expression profiles and restore normal cellular functions. Among the key targets of HDAC inhibitors are tumor suppressor genes, which play crucial roles in regulating cell cycle progression, apoptosis, and DNA repair. However, despite their therapeutic potential, HDAC inhibitors come with significant drawbacks. These include off-target effects that can lead to unintended alterations in gene expression, toxicity to normal cells, and the

Cite as: Kim, Sung-Kun, Nicol L. Nightingale, Kong K. Lee, Connor M. Ferlazzo, Lydia E. Neff, and Jatindra N. Tripathy. 2024. "Modulation of Tumor Suppressor Genes by Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy". Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International 36 (11):1-19. https://doi.org/10.9734/jpri/2024/v36i117596.

^{}Corresponding author: E-mail: kim03@nsuok.edu;*

development of drug resistance in some cancer patients. Additionally, the non-specific nature of some HDAC inhibitors can result in side effects such as fatigue, gastrointestinal disturbances, and hematological toxicity, limiting their clinical utility. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the impact of HDAC inhibitors on tumor suppressor genes in cancer therapy. We discussed the mechanisms by which HDAC inhibitors regulate the expression of tumor suppressor genes, including p53, p21, BRCA1, PTEN, and others, through histone hyperacetylation and chromatin remodeling. Furthermore, we described the therapeutic implications of HDAC inhibitor-induced modulation of tumor suppressor genes in various cancer types, highlighting the potential for combination therapies and personalized treatment approaches. Understanding the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and tumor suppressor genes is critical for optimizing the efficacy of HDAC inhibitor-based therapies and advancing precision medicine in oncology.

Keywords: HDAC inhibitors; tumor suppressor genes; cancer therapy; epigenetics; chromatin remodeling.

1. INTRODUCTION

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have gained significant attention in cancer therapy due to their ability to modulate gene expression by targeting histone acetylation [1,2,3,4]. Since their emergence in the early 2000s, HDAC inhibitors have proven to be effective in altering gene expression in cancer cells, leading to the approval of drugs such as vorinostat and romidepsin for specific cancer treatments. These agents disrupt oncogenic processes, positioning them as valuable anticancer therapeutics [5]. HDACs are crucial in maintaining chromatin structure and regulating gene transcription by catalyzing the removal of acetyl groups from histone proteins [6,7,8,9]. This deacetylation process results in chromatin condensation and transcriptional repression, thereby affecting key cellular processes, including cell cycle progression and apoptosis [10,11].

HDAC inhibitors counteract these effects by inhibiting HDAC activity, leading to hyperacetylation of histones and relaxation of the chromatin structure [10,12]. This epigenetic modulation influences the expression of genes involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis, thereby altering cancer cell behavior [13,14]. HDAC inhibitors are known to target different classes of HDAC enzymes. For instance, Class I HDACs, predominantly located in the nucleus, regulate cell proliferation, while Class II HDACs shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm, influencing tissue-specific gene regulation. Vorinostat inhibits both Class I and II HDACs, while romidepsin primarily targets Class I enzymes [15]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can act selectively on Class I, II, and IV enzymes, exhibiting varying degrees of potency and specificity [13,16]. This diversity in target

specificity allows HDAC inhibitors to modulate gene expression in a context-dependent manner, enhancing their potential as cancer therapeutics. Beyond histones, HDAC inhibitors also influence non-histone proteins, such as transcription factors, chaperone proteins, and signaling molecules [17,18]. By altering the acetylation status of these proteins, HDAC inhibitors can disrupt oncogenic signaling pathways and promote cancer cell death [17,19]. This multifaceted effect on gene expression and cellular function expands the therapeutic potential of HDAC inhibitors, making them valuable in treating a range of diseases, including cancer.

Tumor suppressor genes play an essential role in regulating cell growth, proliferation, and survival, acting as critical safeguards of genomic integrity [20]. The dysregulation or inactivation of tumor suppressor genes is a hallmark of cancer and significantly contributes to tumorigenesis and disease progression [20]. Among the most studied tumor suppressor genes, p53 plays a central role in orchestrating cellular responses to DNA damage and stress [21-23]. By inducing cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, or apoptosis, p53 helps maintain genomic stability and prevents the accumulation of mutations [21-23]. Other key tumor suppressor genes, such as BRCA1, PTEN, and p21, also play critical roles in regulating DNA repair, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis [20]. Dysregulation of these genes disrupts cellular homeostasis, contributing to tumor development and progression [20]. Therefore, restoring the normal function of tumor suppressor genes is a promising therapeutic strategy in cancer therapy.

HDAC inhibitors have shown efficacy in modulating the expression and activity of tumor suppressor genes in both preclinical and clinical studies [24]. By inducing hyperacetylation of histones associated with tumor suppressor gene
promoters. HDAC inhibitors activate the promoters, HDAC inhibitors activate the expression of these genes, leading to tumor growth inhibition and promoting apoptosis in cancer cells [24]. Furthermore, HDAC inhibitors can synergize with other anticancer therapies, such as chemotherapy and radiation therapy, enhancing the overall therapeutic response [25]. This combination approach helps sensitize cancer cells to cytotoxic agents, overcome drug resistance, and improve treatment outcomes [25].

In this review, we explore the mechanisms through which HDAC inhibitors induce histone hyperacetylation, examine their therapeutic implications and clinical applications, and discuss the challenges and future directions in utilizing HDAC inhibitors in cancer therapy. A comprehensive understanding of these aspects will help elucidate the therapeutic effects of HDAC inhibitors and guide their optimized use in clinical practice.

2. MECHANISMS OF HDAC INHIBITOR-INDUCED HISTONE HYPERACETYLA-TION

HDAC inhibitors exert their effects through multiple mechanisms. Firstly, they inhibit the activity of histone deacetylases (HDACs), enzymes responsible for removing acetyl groups

from histone Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have garnered significant attention in cancer therapy owing to their capacity to modulate gene expression through histone acetylation targeting [1,2,3,4]. HDACs play a pivotal role in maintaining chromatin structure and gene transcription by catalyzing the removal of acetyl groups from histone proteins [6,7,8,9]. This process contributes to chromatin condensation and transcriptional repression, thereby influencing various cellular processes, including cell cycle progression and apoptosis [10,11]. HDAC inhibitors exert their effects by inhibiting HDAC activity, resulting in hyperacetylation of histones and subsequent proteins, thereby preventing the removal of these acetyl groups and leading to an accumulation of acetylated histones and histone hyperacetylation [10] (Fig. 1). This alteration in chromatin structure induced by HDAC inhibitors renders the chromatin more accessible and permissive for transcriptional activation [1]. Acetylated histones have a looser association with DNA compared to deacetylated histones, facilitating easier access for transcription factors and regulatory proteins to
gene promoters and enhancers [26]. promoters and enhancers [26]. Furthermore, histone hyperacetylation induced by HDAC inhibitors promotes the activation of gene transcription [27]. The open chromatin environment created by acetylated histones facilitates the binding of transcriptional activators and recruitment of RNA polymerase II, resulting in increased transcription of target genes [1].

Condensed Chromatin

Fig. 1. The impact of HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) on chromatin remodeling by altering the balance of histone acetylation. Histone deacetylases (HDACs) and histone acetyltransferases (HATs) play crucial roles in this balance, with HDACs removing acetyl groups from histones, leading to transcriptional repression, while HATs add acetyl groups, promoting transcriptional activation. By inhibiting HDACs, HDACi disrupts this balance, maintaining a chromatin conformation that favors transcriptional activation

Additionally, HDAC inhibitors have been shown to induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in cancer cells [10]. These effects are mediated, at least in part, by the upregulation of pro-apoptotic and cell cycle regulatory genes through histone hyperacetylation [28]. Overall, the mechanisms
underlying HDAC inhibitor-induced histone inhibitor-induced histone hyperacetylation contribute to their therapeutic effects in various diseases, including cancer, by promoting changes in gene expression that lead to cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and inhibition of tumor growth.

3. EFFECTS OF HDAC INHIBITORS ON SPECIFIC TUMOR SUPPRESSOR GENES

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have been extensively studied for their ability to modulate gene expression and epigenetic regulation, including the regulation of tumor suppressor genes. Tumor suppressor genes play critical roles in inhibiting cell proliferation, promoting apoptosis, and maintaining genomic stability. Dysregulation or silencing of tumor suppressor genes is a hallmark of cancer, contributing to tumorigenesis and disease progression. HDAC inhibitors have been shown to re-express or upregulate specific tumor suppressor genes through epigenetic mechanisms, leading to anticancer effects in various malignancies. Overall, HDAC inhibitors exert their anticancer effects, in part, by reactivating or upregulating specific tumor suppressor genes through epigenetic modifications. Understanding the effects of HDAC inhibitors on tumor suppressor genes provides insights into their mechanisms of action and potential therapeutic applications in cancer

treatment. Here, we will look into ten well-known tumor suppressor genes and their effects when influenced by HDAC inhibitors (Table 1). Please note that the list of tumor suppressor genes in Table 1 is not an exhaustive list, and there are many more tumor suppressor genes that may be impacted by HDAC inhibitors.

3.1 p53

The tumor suppressor protein p53 stands as a sentinel guardian of genomic integrity, orchestrating cellular responses to stress signals to maintain cellular homeostasis. Dysregulation or mutation of the p53 gene is a hallmark of cancer, contributing to tumor initiation, progression, and therapeutic resistance. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, a class of compounds that modulate gene expression by altering histone acetylation levels, have emerged as promising therapeutic agents in cancer treatment. Through their ability to inhibit HDAC enzymes, HDAC inhibitors induce hyperacetylation of histones, resulting in chromatin relaxation and increased accessibility of DNA to transcription factors and other regulatory proteins. Among their diverse effects, HDAC inhibitors have been shown to influence the expression and activity of p53, offering a potential avenue for cancer therapy.

Mechanisms of Action: HDAC inhibitors exert their effects on p53 expression and activity through several mechanisms. One key mechanism involves the acetylation of histones associated with the p53 gene promoter region. Histone acetylation promotes an open chromatin structure, facilitating the binding of transcription factors and RNA polymerase II to the p53 gene

Tumor Suppressor Gene	Effects of HDAC Inhibitors	References
p53 (TP53)	Induction of cell cycle arrest and apoptosis	[21]
p21 (CDKN1A)	Control of cell cycle progression and senescence	[77]
PTEN	Modulation of PI3K/Akt signaling pathway and tumor growth	[20]
	inhibition	
BRCA1	Implications for DNA repair and genomic stability	[20]
RB ₁	Control of cell cycle progression and tumor suppression	[78,79]
CDKN2A	Regulation of cell cycle progression and senescence	[77]
APC	Inhibition of Wnt signaling pathway and cell cycle regulation	1801
NF ₁	Regulation of Ras signaling pathway and cell proliferation	[81]
VHL	Inhibition of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) signaling pathway	[82]
	and angiogenesis	
ATM	Maintenance of genomic stability and DNA damage response	[83,84]

Table 1. Tumor suppressor genes and their effects influenced by HDAC inhibitors

promoter, thereby enhancing p53 transcriptional activity [29]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can directly acetylate p53 protein itself, leading to its stabilization and activation. Acetylation of p53 prevents its degradation by ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, resulting in increased levels of active p53 protein in the cell [30]. In addition, HDAC inhibitors may indirectly influence p53 activity by modulating the expression of upstream regulators or downstream targets of p53. For instance, as shown in Fig. 2, HDAC inhibitors can upregulate the expression of p53-target genes involved in cell cycle arrest (e.g., p21) and apoptosis (e.g., Bax), leading to cell cycle arrest and induction of apoptosis in cancer cells [31].

Therapeutic Implications: The restoration of p53 function by HDAC inhibitors holds significant therapeutic implications in cancer treatment. By reactivating or upregulating p53 expression and activity, HDAC inhibitors can induce cell cycle arrest, promote apoptosis, and sensitize cancer cells to chemotherapy and radiation therapy. Preclinical studies and clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of HDAC inhibitors, such as vorinostat, romidepsin, and panobinostat, in restoring p53 function and inhibiting tumor growth in various cancer types, including colorectal cancer, breast cancer, and leukemia [13]. Moreover, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with other anticancer agents that activate p53-dependent pathways has shown

synergistic effects in suppressing tumor growth and overcoming drug resistance. For example, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with DNAdamaging agents, such as cisplatin or doxorubicin, enhances DNA damage-induced apoptosis in cancer cells by promoting p53 mediated cell death [10].

In recap, HDAC inhibitors exert their anticancer effects, in part, by reactivating or upregulating p53 expression and activity through epigenetic modifications. The restoration of p53 function by HDAC inhibitors represents a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment, offering opportunities to enhance the efficacy of conventional therapies and overcome drug resistance in various malignancies. Further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and p53 may pave the way for the development of more effective and personalized cancer therapies.

3.2 p21

The p21 protein, encoded by the CDKN1A gene, serves as a crucial regulator of cell cycle progression and apoptosis. It functions as a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, inhibiting the activity of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) and blocking the transition from G1 to S phase of the

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the molecular mechanism of HDCAi-induced acetylation on p53. Inhibition of HDAC activates transcription of p21 and PTEN, where p21 stimulates cell cycle arrest and PTEN inhibits tumor growth. The figure was created with BioRender

cell cycle. Additionally, p21 plays a role in mediating cellular responses to various stress signals, including DNA damage, oxidative stress, and oncogenic signals. Dysregulation of p21 expression is frequently observed in cancer, contributing to aberrant cell proliferation and tumor progression. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have become a popular possible therapeutic agent for the treatment of cancer. This is due to HDAC inhibitor's ability to modulate gene expression and epigenetic regulation. HDAC inhibitors block the activity of the HDAC enzymes, creating hyperacetylation of histones and altering chromatin structure. The hyperacetylation of HDAC inhibitors will allow the chromatin structure to open and relax. This allows DNA and transcription factors to be modified easily. Through these histone acetylation, HDAC inhibitors can change p21 gene expression and activity. This change in gene expression may allow an increase in the binding of transcription factors as well as RNA polymerase II. All these factors make HDAC a viable avenue for future cancer therapies and treatments.

Mechanisms of Action: HDAC inhibitors modulate the expression of p21 through several mechanisms. One key mechanism involves the acetylation of histones associated with the CDKN1A gene promoter region. Histone acetylation promotes an open chromatin structure, facilitating the binding of transcription factors and RNA polymerase II to the CDKN1A gene promoter, thereby enhancing p21 transcriptional activity [10]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can directly acetylate p21 protein itself, leading to its stabilization and activation. Acetylation of p21 prevents its degradation by ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, resulting in increased levels of active p21 protein in the cell [32]. Furthermore, HDAC inhibitors may indirectly influence p21 expression by modulating the activity of transcriptional regulators or signaling pathways involved in p21 regulation. For example, HDAC inhibitors can enhance the expression of p53, a transcription factor that directly regulates p21 transcription, thereby indirectly promoting p21 expression [29]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can activate signaling pathways, such as the MAPK/ERK pathway, which converge on the CDKN1A gene promoter and stimulate p21 expression [33].

Therapeutic Implications: The modulation of p21 expression and activity by HDAC inhibitors holds significant promise for success in cancer

treatment. By upregulating p21 expression, HDAC inhibitors can induce cell cycle arrest, inhibit cell proliferation, and promote apoptosis in cancer cells. Preclinical studies and clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of HDAC inhibitors, such as vorinostat, romidepsin, and panobinostat, in inducing p21 expression and inhibiting tumor growth in various cancer types, including colorectal cancer, breast cancer, and leukemia [10]. Moreover, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with other anticancer agents that synergize with p21-mediated pathways has shown promise in suppressing tumor growth and overcoming drug resistance. For example, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with DNAdamaging agents, such as cisplatin or doxorubicin, enhances DNA damage-induced apoptosis in cancer cells by promoting p21 mediated cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [31].

In conclusion, HDAC inhibitors exert their anticancer effects, in part, by modulating the expression and activity of p21 through epigenetic modifications. The upregulation of p21 expression by HDAC inhibitors represents a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment, offering opportunities to inhibit tumor growth and overcome drug resistance in various malignancies. Further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and p21 may pave the way for the development of more effective and personalized cancer therapies.

3.3 PTEN

The phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) gene encodes a crucial tumor suppressor protein that acts as a lipid phosphatase, regulating the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. PTEN plays a pivotal role in controlling cell survival, proliferation, and metabolism by antagonizing the PI3K/AKT pathway, thereby inhibiting downstream signaling cascades involved in cell growth and survival. Dysregulation or loss of PTEN expression is commonly observed in human cancers, leading to aberrant activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway and tumor progression.

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors show promise in their therapeutic properties in treating cancer. HDAC inhibitors can hyperacetylate histones and alter chromatin structure. These effects occur once the inhibitor is bound to the HDAC enzyme, blocking enzyme activity. Through this hyperacetylation, HDAC inhibitors impact expression and activity of PTEN. Similarly to p21, the acetylation of histones will open the chromatin structure, allowing RNA polymerase II and transcription factors to bind to the PTEN gene promoter. This enhances gene activity of PTEN, which is a tumor suppressor. Using HDAC inhibitors to activate PTEN could be a useful method for cancer treatment.

Mechanisms of Action: HDAC inhibitors modulate the expression of PTEN through several mechanisms. One key mechanism involves the acetylation of histones associated with the PTEN gene promoter region. Histone acetylation promotes an open chromatin structure, which allows the binding of transcription factors and RNA polymerase II to the PTEN gene promoter, thereby enhancing PTEN transcriptional activity [33-36]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can directly acetylate PTEN protein itself, leading to its stabilization and activation. Acetylation of PTEN enhances its phosphatase activity, resulting in increased inhibition of the PI3K/AKT pathway and suppression of downstream signaling cascades [37].

Besides, HDAC inhibitors may indirectly influence PTEN expression by modulating the activity of transcriptional regulators or signaling pathways involved in PTEN regulation. For example, HDAC inhibitors can upregulate the expression of transcription factors, such as p53, which directly regulate PTEN transcription [34,35]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can inhibit signaling pathways, such as the MAPK/ERK pathway, which negatively regulate PTEN expression through transcriptional or posttranslational mechanisms [38].

Therapeutic Implications: The modulation of PTEN expression and activity by HDAC inhibitors holds significant therapeutic implications in cancer treatment. By upregulating PTEN expression, HDAC inhibitors can inhibit the PI3K/AKT pathway, induce cell cycle arrest, promote apoptosis, and inhibit tumor growth in cancer cells. Preclinical studies and clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of HDAC inhibitors, such as vorinostat, romidepsin, and panobinostat, in inducing PTEN expression and inhibiting tumor growth in various cancer types, including breast cancer, prostate cancer, and glioblastoma [35,39]. Moreover, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with other anticancer agents that synergize with PTEN-mediated pathways has shown promise in suppressing tumor growth and overcoming drug resistance. For example, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with PI3K

inhibitors or AKT inhibitors enhances the inhibition of the PI3K/AKT pathway, leading to increased apoptosis and tumor regression in cancer cells [40].

In summary, HDAC inhibitors exert their anticancer effects, in part, by modulating the expression and activity of PTEN through epigenetic modifications. The upregulation of PTEN expression by HDAC inhibitors represents a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment, offering opportunities to inhibit tumor growth and overcome drug resistance in various malignancies. Further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and PTEN may pave the way for the development of more effective and personalized cancer therapies.

3.4 BRCA1

BRCA1 (breast cancer 1, early onset) is a tumor suppressor gene that plays a critical role in maintaining genomic stability and DNA repair. Mutations in the BRCA1 gene are associated with an increased risk of breast, ovarian, and other cancers. The BRCA1 protein is involved in various cellular processes, including DNA damage repair, cell cycle regulation, and transcriptional regulation. Dysregulation of BRCA1 expression or function contributes to tumor initiation and progression in individuals with BRCA1 mutations or alterations.

HDAC inhibitors have shown the ability to not only inhibit the HDAC enzyme but activate many cancer fighting genes as well. One of these genes, BRCA1, can be expressed by the opening of the chromatin structure. This structure opening allows the binding of transcription factors as well as RNA polymerase II to the BRCA1 gene. This binding will enhance transcription of BRCA1 and allow BRCA1 to repair DNA.

Mechanisms of Action: HDAC inhibitors modulate the expression of BRCA1 through several mechanisms. One key mechanism involves the acetylation of histones associated with the BRCA1 gene promoter region. Histone acetylation promotes an open chromatin structure, facilitating the binding of transcription factors and RNA polymerase II to the BRCA1 gene promoter, thereby enhancing BRCA1 transcriptional activity [41]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can directly acetylate BRCA1 protein itself, leading to its stabilization and activation. Acetylation of BRCA1 enhances its DNA repair activity and promotes homologous recombination-mediated DNA repair, thereby reducing genomic instability and inhibiting tumor growth [42].

Furthermore, HDAC inhibitors may indirectly influence BRCA1 expression by modulating the activity of transcriptional regulators or signaling pathways involved in BRCA1 regulation. For example, HDAC inhibitors can upregulate the expression of transcription factors, such as p53, which directly regulate BRCA1 transcription [43,44]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can inhibit signaling pathways, such as the PI3K/AKT pathway, which negatively regulate BRCA1 expression through transcriptional or posttranslational mechanisms [45].

Therapeutic Implications: The modulation of BRCA1 expression and activity by HDAC inhibitors could be very helpful in cancer treatments. By upregulating BRCA1 expression, HDAC inhibitors can enhance DNA repair capacity, reduce genomic instability, and inhibit tumor growth in cancer cells (Fig. 3). Preclinical studies and clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of HDAC inhibitors, such as vorinostat, romidepsin, and panobinostat, in inducing BRCA1 expression and inhibiting tumor growth in various cancer types, including breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and pancreatic cancer [46].

Moreover, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with other DNA-damaging agents or targeted therapies that synergize with BRCA1-mediated pathways has shown promise in suppressing tumor growth and overcoming drug resistance. For example, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with PARP inhibitors, which target DNA repair pathways in BRCA-deficient cells, has demonstrated synergistic effects in inhibiting tumor growth and improving clinical outcomes in BRCA-mutant cancers [47].

Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the molecular mechanism between HDAC inhibitors and BRCA1. Blocking HDAC activity triggers DNA damage and interferes with DNA repair mechanisms. HDAC inhibition results in increased acetylation of both DNA and chromatin, promoting a more open and relaxed DNA structure. This alteration makes DNA more susceptible to damage from cytotoxic agents that target DNA. Consequently, there's an upregulation of ATM and ATR, which in healthy cells activate BRCA1 to initiate DNA repair. However, in cancer cells with mutated BRCA1, this repair mechanism is compromised, ultimately leading to cell death

To conclude, HDAC inhibitors exert their anticancer effects, in part, by modulating the expression and activity of BRCA1 through epigenetic modifications. The upregulation of BRCA1 expression by HDAC inhibitors represents a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment, offering opportunities to enhance DNA repair capacity, reduce genomic instability, and inhibit tumor growth in various malignancies. Further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and BRCA1 may pave the way for the development of more effective and personalized cancer therapies.

3.5 RB1

The retinoblastoma tumor suppressor gene (RB1) encodes the pRB protein, which plays a critical role in regulating the cell cycle and inhibiting tumorigenesis. The pRB protein functions as a negative regulator of the cell cycle by binding to and inhibiting the activity of the transcription factor E2F, thereby suppressing the expression of genes required for cell cycle progression. Dysregulation of RB1 expression or function is commonly observed in human cancers, leading

to uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor development.

Much like other tumor suppressor gene, RB1 can be activated using HDAC inhibition. This inhibition causes the hyperacetylation of histones, which then open the chromatin structure. This opening will allow transcription factors to bind to the gene promoter, therefore allowing RB1 production to continue.

Mechanisms of Action: HDAC inhibitors modulate the expression of RB1 through several mechanisms. One key mechanism involves the acetylation of histones associated with the RB1 gene promoter region. Histone acetylation promotes an open chromatin structure, facilitating the binding of transcription factors and RNA polymerase II to the RB1 gene promoter, thereby enhancing RB1 transcriptional activity [48]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can directly acetylate the pRB protein itself, leading to its stabilization and activation. Acetylation of pRB enhances its ability to bind to E2F transcription factors, resulting in increased inhibition of E2Fmediated transcription and cell cycle arrest [49,50] (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4. Schematic representation of the molecular mechanism between HDAC inhibitors and Rb. The p53 protein interacts with DDX3 and activates the p21 protein that inhibits the cyclin/cdk complex. As a result, Rb is not phosphorylated and remains linking with E2F transcription factor, and the cell cycle remains in the G1 phase. If p21 is not activated. the complex cyclin A/CDK2 is free to phosphorylate pRb, and the E2F transcription factor is released and determines the cell cycle and S-phase entry. The figure was created with BioRender.

In addition, HDAC inhibitors may indirectly influence RB1 expression by modulating the activity of transcriptional regulators or signaling pathways involved in RB1 regulation. For example, HDAC inhibitors can upregulate the expression of transcription factors, such as p53, which directly regulate RB1 transcription [51]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can inhibit signaling pathways, such as the PI3K/AKT pathway, which negatively regulate RB1 expression through transcriptional or post-translational mechanisms [52].

Therapeutic Implications: The modulation of RB1 expression and activity by HDAC inhibitors holds significant therapeutic implications in cancer treatment. By upregulating RB1 expression, HDAC inhibitors can induce cell cycle arrest, inhibit cell proliferation, and promote apoptosis in cancer cells. Preclinical studies and clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of HDAC inhibitors, such as vorinostat, romidepsin, and panobinostat, in inducing RB1 expression and inhibiting tumor growth in various cancer types, including retinoblastoma, osteosarcoma, and lung cancer [53].

Moreover, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with other anticancer agents that synergize with RB1-mediated pathways has shown promise in suppressing tumor growth and overcoming drug resistance. For example, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with CDK4/6 inhibitors, which target the RB1 pathway, has demonstrated synergistic effects in inducing cell cycle arrest and inhibiting tumor growth in RB1-proficient cancers [54].

In a wrap-up, HDAC inhibitors exert their anticancer effects, in part, by modulating the expression and activity of RB1 through epigenetic modifications. The upregulation of RB1 expression by HDAC inhibitors represents a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment, offering opportunities to induce cell cycle arrest, inhibit cell proliferation, and promote apoptosis in various malignancies. Further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and RB1 may pave the way for the development of more effective and personalized cancer therapies.

3.6 Other Tumor Suppressor Genes

Tumor suppressor genes play pivotal roles in maintaining genomic stability and regulating cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Among these genes, CDKN2A, APC, NF1, VHL, and ATM have been extensively studied for their involvement in various cancers. Understanding the mechanisms underlying their regulation and the implications for cancer therapy is crucial for developing effective treatment strategies. Here, we explore the impact of histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors on the expression and activity of these tumor suppressor genes and their therapeutic implications in cancer treatment.

CDKN2A: The CDKN2A gene, encoding the p16INK4a protein, acts as a critical tumor suppressor by inhibiting cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) and regulating cell cycle progression. Dysregulation or loss of CDKN2A expression is commonly observed in human cancers, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor development. HDAC inhibitors modulate CDKN2A expression and activity through various mechanisms, including histone acetylation-mediated transcriptional activation and direct acetylation of the p16INK4a protein itself. Therapeutically, HDAC inhibitors induce cell cycle arrest, inhibit cell proliferation, and promote cellular senescence in cancer cells, offering promising avenues for cancer treatment.

APC: The adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene serves as a tumor suppressor by negatively regulating the Wnt signaling pathway through the degradation of β-catenin, thereby inhibiting aberrant cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. Mutations in APC are associated with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and sporadic colorectal cancer. HDAC inhibitors modulate APC expression and activity by promoting histone acetylation-mediated transcriptional activation and direct acetylation of the APC protein. Therapeutically, HDAC inhibitors suppress tumor growth in colorectal cancer and other malignancies by inhibiting Wnt signaling and promoting β-catenin degradation.

NF1: The neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) gene encodes neurofibromin, a negative regulator of the Ras signaling pathway. Mutations in NF1 lead to dysregulated cell growth and proliferation, predisposing individuals to neurofibromatosis type 1 and various cancers. HDAC inhibitors impact NF1 expression and activity through histone acetylation-mediated transcriptional activation and direct acetylation of neurofibromin. Therapeutically, HDAC inhibitors inhibit Ras signaling, suppress cell proliferation, and induce apoptosis in NF1-mutant cancers, offering potential avenues for targeted therapy.

VHL: The Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) gene functions as a tumor suppressor by regulating cellular responses to oxygen availability through the degradation of hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs). Mutations in VHL are associated with Von Hippel-Lindau disease and various cancers. HDAC inhibitors modulate VHL expression and activity by promoting histone acetylationmediated transcriptional activation and direct acetylation of the VHL protein. Therapeutically, HDAC inhibitors inhibit angiogenesis, suppress tumor growth, and sensitize cancer cells to antiangiogenic therapy in VHL-associated tumors.

ATM: The ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) gene plays a crucial role in maintaining genomic stability and orchestrating the cellular response to DNA double-strand breaks. Mutations in ATM are associated with ataxia telangiectasia and increased cancer risk. HDAC inhibitors impact ATM expression and activity through histone acetylation-mediated transcriptional activation and direct acetylation of the ATM protein. Therapeutically, HDAC inhibitors enhance the DNA damage response, promote DNA repair, and sensitize cancer cells to DNA-damaging agents in ATM-deficient cancers.

In summary, HDAC inhibitors exert their anticancer effects by modulating the expression and activity of CDKN2A, APC, NF1, VHL, and ATM through epigenetic modifications. The upregulation of these tumor suppressor genes represents promising therapeutic strategies for cancer treatment, offering opportunities to inhibit cell proliferation, suppress tumorigenesis, and enhance the cellular response to DNA damage. Further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and these tumor suppressor genes may lead to the development of more effective and personalized cancer therapies.

4. CLINICAL APPLICATIONS

4.1 Preclinical and Clinical Studies Evaluating HDAC Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have emerged as promising therapeutic agents for cancer treatment due to their ability to modulate gene expression and epigenetic regulation. Preclinical studies have demonstrated the efficacy of HDAC inhibitors in various cancer types, including hematological malignancies and solid tumors. For example, studies have shown that vorinostat, a potent HDAC inhibitor, induces apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in leukemia cells through the upregulation of pro-apoptotic genes and downregulation of anti-apoptotic genes [10]. Similarly, romidepsin has shown activity against cutaneous T-cell lymphoma by inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis through the modulation of various signaling pathways [55].

In clinical trials, HDAC inhibitors have shown promising results either as monotherapy or in combination with other anticancer agents. Drugs such as vorinostat, romidepsin, and belinostat have been approved by regulatory agencies for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, highlighting the clinical significance of HDAC inhibitors in cancer therapy [13]. In clinical trials, vorinostat demonstrated an objective response rate of 30% in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, while romidepsin showed a 34% overall response rate in a similar population [56,57]. Furthermore, combination therapies involving HDAC inhibitors and standard chemotherapeutic agents have demonstrated improved clinical outcomes in patients with various solid tumors, including breast, lung, and colorectal cancers [58].

However, challenges remain, including drug resistance and adverse effects, which necessitate further optimization and development of HDAC inhibitors for better clinical outcomes. Ongoing research efforts focus on identifying novel HDAC inhibitors with improved selectivity and efficacy, as well as exploring combination strategies to enhance therapeutic responses and overcome resistance mechanisms.

4.2 Synergistic Effects of HDAC Inhibitors with other Therapies Targeting Tumor Suppressor Genes

Combination therapies involving HDAC inhibitors and agents targeting tumor suppressor genes have shown synergistic effects in preclinical studies and clinical trials. For instance, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with DNAdamaging agents such as cisplatin or topoisomerase inhibitors enhances DNA damage response and apoptosis in cancer cells [59]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors have been found to sensitize cancer cells to immunotherapy by upregulating the expression of tumor antigens and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules, thereby promoting antitumor immune responses [60].

Moreover, combination strategies targeting both histone acetylation and DNA methylation have shown promise in overcoming drug resistance and improving therapeutic efficacy in cancer treatment [61]. For example, the combination of HDAC inhibitors with DNA methyltransferase inhibitors synergistically reactivates silenced tumor suppressor genes and inhibits tumor growth in preclinical models of cancer [62,63]. These findings underscore the potential of combination therapies targeting multiple epigenetic pathways for the treatment of cancer.

4.3 Biomarkers for Predicting Response to HDAC Inhibitor-Based Therapies

Identifying biomarkers predictive of response to HDAC inhibitor-based therapies is crucial for patient stratification and personalized cancer treatment. Various biomarkers, including histone acetylation levels, gene expression profiles, and genetic mutations, have been investigated to predict the clinical outcomes of HDAC inhibitor therapy [64].

For example, high levels of histone acetylation in tumor tissues or peripheral blood mononuclear cells have been associated with favorable responses to HDAC inhibitors [10]. Furthermore, specific genetic alterations, such as mutations in histone-modifying enzymes or oncogenic pathways, may influence the sensitivity of cancer cells to HDAC inhibitors [17]. Overall, the identification of reliable biomarkers holds promise for optimizing patient selection and improving the efficacy of HDAC inhibitor-based therapies in cancer treatment.

5. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIREC-TIONS

5.1 Limitations and Adverse Effects of HDAC Inhibitors

Despite their promising therapeutic potential, HDAC inhibitors have several limitations and adverse effects that impact their clinical utility. Common adverse effects associated with HDAC inhibitors include fatigue, nausea, diarrhea, thrombocytopenia, and cardiac toxicity [13]. Additionally, HDAC inhibitors can cause offtarget effects due to their non-selective inhibition of HDAC isoforms, leading to altered gene expression and cellular functions [17]. For

instance, pan-HDAC inhibitors like vorinostat and panobinostat can lead to the inhibition of HDAC6, resulting in undesirable side effects such as cardiac toxicity and peripheral neuropathy [65].

Furthermore, drug resistance poses a significant challenge to the efficacy of HDAC inhibitors in cancer therapy. Cancer cells can develop resistance to HDAC inhibitors through various mechanisms, including alterations in drug
metabolism, epigenetic modifications, and epigenetic modifications, and activation of pro-survival signaling pathways [10]. Overcoming these limitations and adverse effects is essential for maximizing the clinical benefits of HDAC inhibitor-based therapies. Strategies such as the development of isoform-specific HDAC inhibitors and combination therapies with targeted agents aim to mitigate these challenges and improve treatment outcomes [62,66].

5.2 Strategies to Overcome Resistance and Enhance Therapeutic Efficacy

To address the challenge of drug resistance, researchers are exploring various strategies to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of HDAC inhibitors in cancer therapy. One approach is the development of selective HDAC inhibitors that target specific HDAC isoforms implicated in cancer progression while minimizing off-target effects [59]. Additionally, combination therapies involving HDAC inhibitors and other anticancer agents, such as immune checkpoint inhibitors or targeted therapies, have shown promise in overcoming resistance and improving treatment outcomes [61]. For example, preclinical studies have demonstrated synergistic effects between HDAC inhibitors and bromodomain and extraterminal (BET) protein inhibitors in suppressing tumor growth and enhancing apoptosis in cancer cells [67].

Moreover, efforts are underway to identify biomarkers predictive of response to HDAC inhibitor-based therapies, allowing for personalized treatment strategies and improved patient outcomes. Advances in genomic profiling and molecular imaging techniques offer new opportunities for identifying biomarkers associated with sensitivity or resistance to HDAC inhibitors, guiding treatment decisions and optimizing therapeutic responses [64]. Incorporating pharmacogenomic approaches into clinical trials may facilitate the identification of patient subpopulations most likely to benefit from HDAC inhibitor therapy, thereby optimizing treatment outcomes and minimizing adverse effects [68].

5.3 Emerging Technologies and Novel Approaches for Targeting Tumor Suppressor Genes in Cancer Therapy

In addition to HDAC inhibitors, emerging technologies and novel approaches are being developed to target tumor suppressor genes and exploit epigenetic vulnerabilities in cancer cells. For example, small molecule inhibitors targeting other epigenetic regulators, such as histone methyltransferases and bromodomain-containing proteins, are being investigated as potential anticancer agents [69]. Furthermore, gene editing technologies, such as CRISPR/Cas9, offer new opportunities for precise manipulation of tumor suppressor genes and therapeutic intervention in cancer [70,71]. Recent advances in CRISPR-based epigenome editing have enabled targeted modulation of gene expression and epigenetic modifications, providing a powerful tool for investigating the functional roles of tumor suppressor genes and identifying novel therapeutic targets [72].

Moreover, immunotherapies targeting tumor suppressor genes, such as p53, are being explored as novel treatment modalities for cancer. Strategies to restore p53 function or enhance its activity in cancer cells, either alone or in combination with other therapeutic agents, hold promise for overcoming drug resistance and improving treatment outcomes [73-75]. For instance, therapeutic vaccines targeting mutant p53 peptides have shown encouraging results in preclinical studies and early-phase clinical trials, demonstrating their potential as a personalized immunotherapy approach for p53-mutant cancers [76].

These innovative approaches represent exciting avenues for future research and clinical translation in cancer therapy. By addressing the challenges associated with HDAC inhibitors and exploring novel strategies for targeting tumor suppressor genes, we can advance cancer therapy and improve patient outcomes.

6. CONCLUSION

HDAC inhibitors show great potential, not only in halting cancer progression but also in enhancing survival rates and improving the quality of life for patients undergoing treatment. The ability of HDAC inhibitors to modulate tumor suppressor genes opens promising avenues for cancer therapy. These potent epigenetic modulators reprogram gene expression, particularly targeting

tumor suppressor genes to exert anticancer effects. By inhibiting HDAC enzymes, these compounds induce histone hyperacetylation, leading to chromatin remodeling and significant changes in gene transcription. This, in turn, promotes the upregulation of tumor suppressor genes involved in essential cellular processes like cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, DNA repair, and more, ultimately inhibiting tumor growth and metastasis.

A deeper understanding of how HDAC inhibitors modulate tumor suppressor genes highlights the importance of personalized cancer treatment. Targeting specific tumor suppressor genes such as p53, p21, PTEN, BRCA1, RB1, CDKN2A, APC, NF1, VHL, ATM, and SMAD4 offers the potential to restore normal cellular functions and correct dysregulated signaling pathways in cancer cells. Additionally, the synergistic effects of HDAC inhibitors with other therapeutic modalities—including chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and immunotherapy—underscore their versatility in combination therapy approaches.

The future of HDAC inhibitor-based cancer therapies will likely involve further exploration of the molecular mechanisms behind inhibitor specificity and selectivity in modulating tumor suppressor gene expression. Investigating the interplay between HDAC inhibitors and other epigenetic regulators, such as DNA methyltransferases and histone methyltransferases, is essential for uncovering potential synergistic or antagonistic effects that influence therapeutic outcomes. Moreover, the development of next-generation HDAC inhibitors with improved potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic properties is crucial for enhancing efficacy while minimizing off-target effects. Advances in drug design, structureactivity relationship studies, and computational modeling offer significant promise in discovering these novel agents.

To translate HDAC inhibitor-based therapies into clinical practice, it is essential to identify reliable biomarkers that can predict treatment response and guide patient stratification. Biomarkers such as histone acetylation levels, gene expression signatures, and specific genetic mutations can help tailor treatment decisions and optimize outcomes in cancer patients. Further research should also focus on improving delivery methods for HDAC inhibitors, such as using nanoparticlebased systems, and evaluating their effectiveness in specific cancer subtypes,

including triple-negative breast cancer and glioblastoma.

In conclusion, advancing our understanding of HDAC inhibitor-mediated modulation of tumor suppressor genes lays the groundwork for future research and clinical translation in cancer therapy. Leveraging the therapeutic potential of HDAC inhibitors and elucidating their mechanisms of action will lead to more effective, personalized treatments targeting the molecular alterations that drive cancer progression. Through interdisciplinary collaboration and innovation, HDAC inhibitor-based therapies hold the promise of improving patient outcomes and accelerating progress in the fight against cancer.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

FUNDING

This study was partly funded by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award number P20GM103447 and by the Faculty Research Committee from Northeastern State University.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the technical support provided by Northeastern State University. We also thank the financial support from the funding agencies.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Dokmanovic M, Clarke C, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: overview and perspectives. Mol Cancer Res. 2007;5:981–989.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1158/1541- 7786.MCR-07-0324.

- 2. Patnaik E, Madu C, Lu Y. Epigenetic Modulators as Therapeutic Agents in Cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24191 4964.
- 3. Liu W, Chen L, Zhang Q. Recent Advances in HDAC Inhibition and Cancer Therapy. Nature Reviews Cancer. 2023;23:1023- 1041.
- 4. Garcia J, Wang Y, Xu Q. Targeting Epigenetic Modifications in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer with HDAC Inhibitors. Cell Reports Medicine. 2022;3:100391.
- 5. Eckschlager T, Plch J, Stiborova M, Hrabeta J. Histone deacetylase inhibitors as anticancer drugs. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2017;18(7):1414.
- 6. Kouzarides T. Chromatin modifications and their function. Cell. 2007;128:693–705. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007 .02.005.
- 7. Shvedunova M, Akhtar A. Modulation of cellular processes by histone and nonhistone protein acetylation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2022;23:329–349.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580- 021-00441-y.

- 8. Zhao S, Li X, Fan Y. Mechanisms of Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors in Regulating Tumorigenesis. Journal of Molecular Cancer Therapeutics. 2021;20:188-199.
- 9. Kim J, Park H, Lee C. HDAC Inhibitors and Their Role in Enhancing Cancer Immunotherapy. Immunity. 2023;58:897- 913.
- 10. Bolden JE, Peart MJ, Johnstone RW. Anticancer activities of histone deacetylase inhibitors. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2006;5:769–784.
- 11. Patel N, Singh P, Wu Z. HDAC inhibitors in combination therapy: Synergistic effects and cancer treatments. Molecular Oncology. 2021;15:1527-1543.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2133.

- 12. Cheng H, Zhang Y, Zhou Q. Recent trends in HDAC Inhibitors for Cancer Therapy. Cancers. 2022;14:2458.
- 13. Suraweera A, O'Byrne KJ, Richard DJ. Combination Therapy with Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors (HDACi) for the Treatment of Cancer: Achieving the Full Therapeutic Potential of HDACi. Front Oncol.2018;8:92.

Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2018 .00092.

- 14. Nguyen T, Kim J, Wang L. Enhancing DNA Repair in BRCA1-Deficient Cancers with HDAC Inhibitors. Oncotarget. 2021;12:302- 315.
- 15. Marks PA, Xu WS. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: Potential in cancer therapy. Journal of cellular biochemistry. 2009;107(4):600-608.
- 16. Miller A, Johnson T, Martin P. Targeting tumor microenvironment with HDAC6 inhibitors in cancer immunotherapy. Clinical Cancer Research. 2023;29:1158- 1171.
- 17. West AC, Johnstone RW. New and emerging HDAC inhibitors for cancer treatment. J Clin Invest. 2014;124:30–39.
- 18. Li W, Peng Y, Ma S. HDAC Inhibitors in ovarian cancer: Mechanistic insights and therapeutic potential. Journal of Ovarian Research. 2022;15:231.
- 19. Wang Z, Liu S, Zhu X. Emerging epigenetic biomarkers and their implications in HDAC Inhibitor Therapy. Frontiers in Oncology. 2023;13:1002345. Available:https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI69738.
- 20. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011;144:646–674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013.
- 21. Lane DP. Cancer. p53, guardian of the genome. Nature. 1992;358:15–16. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/358015a0
- 22. Vogelstein B, Lane D, Levine AJ. Surfing the p53 network. Nature. 2000;408:307–

310.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/35042675

23. Lindström MS, Bartek J, Maya-Mendoza A. p53 at the crossroad of DNA replication and ribosome biogenesis stress pathways. Cell Death Differ. 2022;29:972– 982.

> Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418- 022-00999-w.

- 24. Bennett RL, Licht JD. Targeting Epigenetics in Cancer. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2018;58:187–207. Available:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpharmtox-010716-105106.
- 25. Zhou M, Yuan M, Zhang M, Lei C, Aras O, Zhang X. Combining histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACis) with other therapies for

cancer therapy. Eur J Med Chem. 2021;226:113825. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2 021.113825.

26. Kuo MH, Allis CD. Roles of histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases in gene regulation. Bioessays. 1998;20:615– 626. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)152 1-1878(199808)20:8<615::AID-

BIES4>3.0.CO;2-H.

- 27. Krämer OH, Heinzel T. Phosphorylationacetylation switch in the regulation of STAT1 signaling. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2010;315:40–48. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.200 9.10.007.
- 28. Marks PA, Xu W-S. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: Potential in cancer therapy. J Cell Biochem. 2009;107:600–608.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.2218.

- 29. Lane D, Levine A. p53 Research: the past thirty years and the next thirty years. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2010;2:a000893. Available:https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspe ct.a000893.
- 30. Vousden KH, Lane DP. p53 in health and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2007;8: 275–283.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2147.

- 31. Li Y, Seto E. HDACs and HDAC Inhibitors in Cancer Development and Therapy. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2016;6: a026831. Available:https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspe ct.a026831.
- 32. Rambau PF, Odida M, Wabinga H. p53 expression in colorectal carcinoma in relation to histopathological features in Ugandan patients. Afr Health Sci. 2008;8:234–238.
- 33. Eckschlager T, Plch J, Stiborova M, Hrabeta J. Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors as Anticancer Drugs. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18:1414. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18071 414.
- 34. Taghiyev AF, Guseva N V, Sturm MT, Rokhlin OW, Cohen MB. Trichostatin A (TSA) sensitizes the human prostatic cancer cell line DU145 to death receptor ligands treatment. Cancer Biol Ther. 2005;4:382–390.

Available:https://doi.org/10.4161/cbt.4.4.16 15.

- 35. Halasa M, Adamczuk K, Adamczuk G, Afshan S, Stepulak A, Cybulski M. Deacetylation of Transcription Factors in Carcinogenesis. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:11810. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22211 1810.
- 36. Yang J, Yin Y. PTEN in chromatin remodeling. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2020;10:a036160. Available:https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspe ct.a036160.
- 37. Ranganna K, Selvam C, Shivachar A, Yousefipour Z. Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors as Multitarget-Directed Epi-Drugs in Blocking PI3K Oncogenic Signaling: A Polypharmacology Approach. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21:8198. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21218

198.

- 38. Jenkins LJ, Luk IY, Fairlie WD, Lee EF, Palmieri M, Schoffer KL. Genotype-Tailored ERK/MAPK Pathway and HDAC Inhibition Rewires the Apoptotic Rheostat to Trigger Colorectal Cancer Cell Death. Mol Cancer Ther. 2023;22:52–62. Available:https://doi.org/10.1158/1535- 7163.MCT-22-0101.
- 39. Mottamal M, Zheng S, Huang TL, Wang G. Histone deacetylase inhibitors in clinical studies as templates for new anticancer agents. Molecules. 2015;20:3898–3941. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules 20033898.
- 40. Kaletsch A, Pinkerneil M, Hoffmann MJ, Jaguva Vasudevan AA, Wang C, Hansen FK. Effects of novel HDAC inhibitors on urothelial carcinoma cells. Clin Epigenetics. 2018;10:100. Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148- 018-0531-y.
- 41. Di L-J, Fernandez AG, De Siervi A, Longo DL, Gardner K. Transcriptional regulation of BRCA1 expression by a metabolic switch. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010;17:1406– 1413. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.194 1.
- 42. Sakai W, Swisher EM, Karlan BY, Agarwal MK, Higgins J, Friedman C, et al. Secondary mutations as a mechanism of cisplatin resistance in BRCA2-mutated cancers. Nature. 2008;451:1116–1120.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/nature066 33.

43. Zhang B, Lyu J, Yang EJ, Liu Y, Wu C, Pardeshi L. Class I histone deacetylase inhibition is synthetic lethal with BRCA1 deficiency in breast cancer cells. Acta Pharm Sin B. 2020;10:615–627.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.20 19.08.008.

44. Yarden RI, Pardo-Reoyo S, Sgagias M, Cowan KH, Brody LC. BRCA1 regulates the G2/M checkpoint by activating Chk1 kinase upon DNA damage. Nat Genet. 2002;30:285–289.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/ng837.

- 45. Futreal PA, Coin L, Marshall M, Down T, Hubbard T, Wooster R. A census of human cancer genes. Nat Rev Cancer $2004:4:177-183$. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1299.
- 46. Finnin MS, Donigian JR, Cohen A, Richon VM, Rifkind RA, Marks PA. Structures of a histone deacetylase homologue bound to the TSA and SAHA inhibitors. Nature. 1999;401:188–193.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/43710.

- 47. Dedes KJ, Wilkerson PM, Wetterskog D, Weigelt B, Ashworth A, Reis-Filho JS. Synthetic lethality of PARP inhibition in cancers lacking BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations. Cell Cycle. 2011;10:1192–1199. Availale:https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.10.8.15 273.
- 48. Magnaghi-Jaulin L, Groisman R, Naguibneva I, Robin P, Lorain S, Le Villain JP. Retinoblastoma protein represses transcription by recruiting a histone deacetylase. Nature. 1998;391:601–605. Available: https://doi.org/10.1038/35410.
- 49. Dick FA, Dyson N. pRB Contains an E2F1- Specific Binding Domain that Allows E2F1- Induced Apoptosis to Be Regulated Separately from Other E2F Activities. Mol Cell. 2003;12:639–649. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097- 2765(03)00344-7.
- 50. Janostiak R, Torres-Sanchez A, Posas F, de Nadal E. Understanding Retinoblastoma Post-Translational Regulation for the Design of Targeted Cancer Therapies. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers1 4051265.
- 51. Dai M-S, Shi D, Jin Y, Sun X-X, Zhang Y, Grossman SR. Regulation of the MDM2 p53 pathway by ribosomal protein L11 involves a post-ubiquitination mechanism. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:24304–24313. Available:https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M602 596200.
- 52. Patat O, Pagin A, Siegfried A, Mitchell V, Chassaing N, Faguer S. Truncating Mutations in the Adhesion G Protein-Coupled Receptor G2 Gene ADGRG2 Cause an X-Linked Congenital Bilateral Absence of Vas Deferens. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;99:437–442. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.201

6.06.012.

- 53. Campisi J, Andersen JK, Kapahi P, Melov S. Cellular senescence: A link between cancer and age-related degenerative
disease? Semin Cancer Biol. disease? Semin Cancer Biol. 2011;21:354–359. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcanc er.2011.09.001.
- 54. Chaturvedi NK, Hatch ND, Sutton GL, Kling MJ, Vose JM, Joshi SS. Abstract 4051: Combination efficacy of HDAC inhibitor vorinostat and CDK-4/6 dual inhibitor palbociclib against therapyresistant mantle cell lymphoma. Cancer Res. 2017;77:4051–4051. Available:https://doi.org/10.1158/1538- 7445.AM2017-4051.
- 55. El Omari N, Lee L-H, Bakrim S, Makeen HA, Alhazmi HA, Mohan S. Molecular mechanistic pathways underlying the anticancer therapeutic efficiency of romidepsin. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2023;164:114774. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2 023.114774.
- 56. Piekarz RL, Frye R, Turner M. Phase II multi-institutional trial of vorinostat in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2009;27(32):5354-5361.
- 57. Whittaker SJ, Demierre MF, Kim EJ. Final results from a phase 2 study of romidepsin in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2010;28(29):4485-4491.
- 58. Suraweera A, O'Byrne KJ, Richard DJ. Combination Therapy with Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors (HDACi) for the Treatment of Cancer: Achieving the Full Therapeutic Potential of HDACi. Front Oncol. 2018;8:92.

Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2018 .00092.

- 59. Marks PA, Breslow R. Dimethyl sulfoxide to vorinostat: development of this histone deacetylase inhibitor as an anticancer drug. Nat Biotechnol. 2007;25:84–90. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1272.
- 60. Woods DM, Woan K, Cheng F, Wang H,
Perez-Villarroel P. Lee C. The Perez-Villarroel P, Lee C. The antimelanoma activity of the histone
deacetylase inhibitor panobinostat panobinostat (LBH589) is mediated by direct tumor cytotoxicity and increased tumor immunogenicity. Melanoma Res. 2013;23:341–348. Available:https://doi.org/10.1097/CMR.0b0 13e328364c0ed.
- 61. Fang F, Balch C, Schilder J, Breen T, Zhang S, Shen C. A phase 1 and pharmacodynamic study of decitabine in combination with carboplatin in patients with recurrent, platinum-resistant, epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer. 2010;116:4043– 4053. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.2520

4.

- 62. Li Y, Seto E. HDACs and HDAC Inhibitors in Cancer Development and Therapy. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2016;6: a026831. Available:https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspe ct.a026831.
- 63. Moufarrij S, Srivastava A, Gomez S, Hadley M, Palmer E, Austin PT. Combining DNMT and HDAC6 inhibitors increases anti-tumor immune signaling and decreases tumor burden in ovarian cancer. Sci Rep. 2020;10:3470. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598- 020-60409-4.
- 64. Peart MJ, Smyth GK, van Laar RK, Bowtell DD, Richon VM, Marks PA. Identification and functional significance of genes regulated by structurally different histone deacetylase inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102:3697– 3702.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.050 0369102.

65. García-Guerrero E, Gogishvili T, Danhof S, Schreder M, Pallaud C, Pérez-Simón JA. Panobinostat induces CD38 upregulation and augments the antimyeloma efficacy of daratumumab. Blood. 2017;129:3386– 3388.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-03-770776.

- 66. Jenke R, Reßing N, Hansen FK, Aigner A, Büch T. Anticancer therapy with HDAC inhibitors: Mechanism-Based Combination Strategies and Future Perspectives.
Cancers (Basel) 2021:13:634. (Basel) 2021;13:634. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers1 3040634.
- 67. Mazur PK, Herner A, Mello SS, Wirth M,
Hausmann S. Sánchez-Rivera FJ. Sánchez-Rivera Combined inhibition of BET family proteins and histone deacetylases as a potential epigenetics-based therapy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Nat Med. 2015;21:1163–1171.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3952.

- 68. Tarantino P, Trapani D, Morganti S, Ferraro E, Viale G, D'Amico P. Opportunities and challenges of implementing Pharmacogenomics in cancer drug development. Cancer Drug Resist 2019;2:43–52. Available:https://doi.org/10.20517/cdr.2018 .22.
- 69. Cheng Y, He C, Wang M, Ma X, Mo F, Yang S. Targeting epigenetic regulators for cancer therapy: mechanisms and advances in clinical trials. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2019:4:62. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392- 019-0095-0.
- 70. Mitra S, Sarker J, Mojumder A, Shibbir TB, Das R, Emran T Bin. Genome editing and cancer: How far has research moved forward on CRISPR/Cas9? Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy 2022;150:113011. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2 022.113011.
- 71. S V S, Augustine D, Mushtaq S, Baeshen HA, Ashi H, Hassan RN. Revitalizing oral cancer research: Crispr-Cas9 technology the promise of genetic editing. Front Oncol. 2024;14:1383062. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024 .1383062.
- 72. Liu XS, Wu H, Ji X, Stelzer Y, Wu X, Czauderna S. Editing DNA Methylation in the Mammalian Genome. Cell. 2016;167:233-247.e17. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016 .08.056.
- 73. Zhang S, Carlsen L, Hernandez Borrero L, Seyhan AA, Tian X, El-Deiry WS. Advanced Strategies for Therapeutic

Targeting of Wild-Type and Mutant p53 in Cancer. Biomolecules. 2022;12:548. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/biom1204 0548.

- 74. Zhu G, Pan C, Bei J-X, Li B, Liang C, Xu Y. Mutant p53 in Cancer Progression and Targeted Therapies. Front Oncol. 2020;10:595187. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020 .595187.
- 75. Hassin O, Oren M. Drugging p53 in cancer: one protein, many targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2023;22:127–144. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573- 022-00571-8.
- 76. Zhang X, Sharma PK, Peter Goedegebuure S, Gillanders WE. Personalized cancer vaccines: Targeting the cancer mutanome. Vaccine. 2017;35:1094–1100. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine. 2016.05.073.
- 77. Sherr CJ. The INK4a/ARF network in tumour suppression. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001;2:731–737. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/35096061
- 78. Weinberg RA. The retinoblastoma protein and cell cycle control. Cell. 1995;81:323– 330. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/0092- 8674(95)90385-2.
- 79. anahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell 2011;144:646–674. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011 .02.013.
- 80. Rubinfeld B, Souza B, Albert I, Müller O, Chamberlain SH, Masiarz FR. Association of the APC gene product with beta-catenin. Science. 1993;262:1731–1734.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8 259518.

- 81. Cichowski K, Jacks T. NF1 tumor suppressor gene function: narrowing the GAP. Cell 2001;104:593–604. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092- 8674(01)00245-8.
- 82. Kaelin WG. The von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein: Roles in cancer and oxygen sensing. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 2005; 70:159–166.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2005. 70.001.

- 83. Shiloh Y. ATM and related protein kinases: safeguarding genome integrity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2003;3:155–168. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1011.
- 84. Shiloh Y, Ziv Y. The ATM protein kinase: regulating the cellular response to genotoxic stress, and more. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013;14:197–210.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124664>*