

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 11, Page 445-449, 2024; Article no.JEAI.126557 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Yield Performance of *Pangasius sutchi* with Carps in Farm Ponds of Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka, India

Chethan N^{a*}, Ramesha T. J^b, Manjappa N^c, Kedarnath^b, Rashmi R.^b, Shivakumar R.^b and Amogha K. R.^d

^a Fisheries Research and Information Centre, Hebbal, Bengaluru, KVAFSU, Karnataka, India.
^b ICAR-KVK, Dakshina Kannada, KVAFSU, Karnataka, India.
^c Fisheries Research and Information Centre, Hesaraghatta, Bengaluru, KVAFSU, Karnataka, India.
^d College of Fisheries, Mangaluru, KVAFSU, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i113066

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126557

Original Research Article

Received: 07/09/2024 Accepted: 09/11/2024 Published: 18/11/2024

ABSTRACT

Farm ponds are the major water storage facilities available for the farming community and majority of the farming community face scarcity of water during the summer months. Dakshina Kannada being the coastal district, majority of the population is dependent on marine fisheries for fish nutrition. *Pangasius sutchi* is an omnivore and bottom feeder, feeding on variety of plant and animal protein (Philippe 1998). Composite fish culture of carps with *Pangasius sutchi* using farm ponds was carried for three years in various parts of the district, *Pangasius sutchi* under composite fish culture with carps exhibited better growth with a mean growth of 0.948±0.33, 0.961 ±0.20 and 0.901±0.16 kg during 2019-20, 2020-21 and 2021-22 respectively. *Pangasius sutchi* and Catla

Cite as: N, Chethan, Ramesha T. J, Manjappa N, Kedarnath, Rashmi R., Shivakumar R., and Amogha K. R. 2024. "Yield Performance of Pangasius Sutchi With Carps in Farm Ponds of Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka, India". Journal of Experimental Agriculture International 46 (11):445-49. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i113066.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: chetzda@gmail.com;

performed better in terms of growth in farm ponds under the composite culture system giving more benefits to the farmer. The total yield varied with a minimum of 68.45 qtl/ ha in 2020- 21 and a maximum of 72.69 qtl/ha during 2019- 20.

Keywords: Pangasius sutchi; composite culture; farm pond; KVK; Carp.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dakshina kannada is a maritime district of Karnataka with 4770 sq km of geographical area extending between 12.86" longitude and 75.25" latitude. Mangaluru is the district capital and the district is bound by sea from the west and Western Ghats from the east. The Topography of the District is undulating and the average altitude of the district is 30 metres above Mean Sea Level. The district has around 64 km coastal length with four rivers Nethravati, Gurpura, Payaswini and Kumaradhara flowing. Beside many small rivers Nethravati is considered as the major river with religious importance and also serve as a major source for drinking purpose. The district has small reservoirs, natural tanks, wells and farm ponds. Farm ponds are the major water storage facilities available for the farming majority of the community and farming community face scarcity of water during the summer months. Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dakshina Kannada was established in the year 2004, functioning under host institution Karnataka Veterinary Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar. The District is characterized by high rainfall (4000 mm mostly received during June to October) High temperature (Max-36.2°C and Min 20.4°C) and high humidity (84.5 to 96.5%). Soils are lateritic and acidic in nature. KVK. Dakshina Kannada is serving as a knowledge and resource center of agricultural technologies for the farming community to enhance their livelihood. The district has a literacy rate of 88.57% and majority of the farming community has zeal towards the adoption of new farming technologies. KVK deals with area specific technologies in the district, which will combat the issues faced by the farming communities. KVK identifies the area specific problems and bridges the gap inbetween the farmers and the scientific practices (Shukla, 2022).

Dakshina Kannada being the coastal district, majority of the population is dependent on marine fisheries for fish nutrition. Fish is being the staple animal food in the district; people preferred marine fish in all the seasons. The district has vast water resources in terms of farm ponds and natural tanks which are sparingly

aquaculture. KVK used for inland has demonstrated several technologies in the district from the past two decades but composite fish culture in farm ponds has been one of the most effective to the farming community to accept, adopt and spread the technology (Radhevshvam et al., 2011). There is great scope for inland fish production in the district with effective utilization of available resources in a smaller and medium scale. The fisheries extension plays a vital role in taking up of the farmer oriented technologies and the key to success of such technologies depends on problem specific, resource friendly and effective to the problems faced (Shukla, 2022). Pangasius sp. is native species of South East Asia and widely cultured around the world. Many authors opine that the specie is bottom feeder with omnivore behavior while few opined that it is an carnivore predominantly feeding on molluscs, fish and crustaceans (Gupta, 2016). Demonstration on Composite fish culture of carps with Pangasius sutchi using farm ponds in the district was carried for three years in various parts of the district.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dakshina Kannada being a coastal district and horticulture crops are the major source of income to the farming community, for which majority of the farmers use farm ponds for storing water. The demonstration was carried out three years from 2019 to 2022 at 11 different locations in the district. Farm ponds in the districts were selected based on the farmer's interest in adoption of new technology for enhancing fish production and the uptake of the new species under culture system. Primary data was collected through pretested structured interview pertaining to farm ponds and beneficiaries were selected 11 for the demonstration of composite fish farming using farm ponds and through various capacity programmes and awareness development programmes, they were trained in scientific fish farming practices using farm ponds. Based on the scientific knowledge and status of fish farmers the fish farming is implemented (Mishra et al. 2007). Pond preparation, water quality management and fish feeding were practically demonstrated. Beneficiaries were provided with critical inputs such as good quality fish seed, fish

feed, water testing kits and various other basic components for fish culture. Pancasius sutchi. catla, Rohu and Common Carp were stocked at the ration of 5:2:2:1 at the rate of 1 no.of fish/m². Supplementary feeding and the water samples were collected, analyzed as per the standard procedures APHA (1985). Fish seed, feed and water quality testing kits were provided to the beneficiaries as inputs for the demonstration. Regular monitoring of the culture and data was recorded was carried out by the KVK scientists. Through these demonstrations adoption of new technologies by the farming community was assessed along with the performance of Pangasius sutchi and carps under composite culture in the farm ponds.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Farm ponds play a crucial role by storing water for the various agriculture and horticulture farming practices. With intervention of scientific management practices, the same farm ponds can be effectively used for fish culture as well with different beneficial approach. Waste from the fish, mannuring for the primary production and unused fish feed will enrich the water in the farm ponds and it provides nutritious media to the agricultural and horticultural crops. Fish farming using these farm ponds will provide additional income to the farmers along with the effective use of the resources. Composite fish culture has been an ancient technology with various combinations of fish species being cultured around the world. The species selection for the same depends on the local demand as well as the performance of the species in the locality. The adoption of technology is totally dependent on the local criteria and community approach (Mandal, 2011). Front line demonstrations are the effective way of disseminating such technologies into the farmer's field by making the farmer to understand the technology thoroughly. The technology dissemination is location specific as the produced product should generate income out of it (Ananth, 2014).

In this demonstration *Pangasius sutchi* along with carps such as Catla, Rohu and Common carp were used composite culture practice. 10 months duration of the demonstration per year was conducted in the farm ponds for three years at 11 different locations in the coastal district of Karnataka. Water quality parameters such as pH, hardness dissolved oxygen and turbidity was monitored throughout the demonstration period.

Table 1. Depicts the water quality parameters monitored throughout the demonstration period. pH is considered as the productive index of the water body and plays a crucial role in attaining ideal water quality for fish growth. pH levels didn't had much variation throughout the demonstration period, pH ranged between 6.1 to 7.6 in three years. It is essential to manage the pH concentration in between 6.0 and 8.5 for balancing the productive potential of the water body (Garg et al., 2010). Hardness of the water remained under ideal concentrations and with scientific interventions the water quality was monitored ideally. In three years of demonstration, there was not too much variation in the hardness concentrations yet maintained under the ideal limits for fish farming. Dissolved oxygen is one of the most important gases for the fishes to survive and perform physiological activities. It varied from 5.3 to 7.4 mg/l in three vears and the concentrations were well within the optimal limits for fish culture. Turbidity also remained in the optimal limits with 39-90cm clearance during the demonstration.

Fish growth under the composite fish culture system exhibited a variation between the species. Table 2 and Fig. 1. Depict the mean fish growth details in the demonstration during three vears. Pangasius sutchi under composite fish culture with carps exhibited better growth with a mean growth of 0.948+0.33, 0.961+0.20 and 0.901+0.16 kg during 2019-20, 2020-21 and 2021-22 respectively. The second year of demonstration exhibited the highest mean growth with 0.961 +0.20 kg whereas; the farmer practice with tradition practice exhibited 0.508+0.12 kg mean growth. Catla species exhibited the better growth among all the carps used in the composite culture with an increasing trend during the three years of demonstration. The growth ranged from 0.902+0.18 to 0.975+0.08 kg during 2019-20 to 2021-22 and under farmers practice, the growth was 0.694+0.14 kg. It is evident that Catla has been the one of the ideal species among carps for composite culture in farm ponds. Rohu was next best species in terms of growth with a mean growth of 0.883+0.11, 0.885+0.05 and 0.859+0.06 kg during 2019-20-2020-21 and 2021-22 respectively. Whereas, under the farmer's practice, 0.468+0.08 kg of growth was reported and this minimal growth has the effect on the total yield. Common carp exhibited a decreasing trend in mean growth during the three years with a variation of 0.642+0.24 to 0.751+0.11 kg while, the farmers practice reported 0.447+0.11 kg. Gross cost of production was around 3.0 lakh /ha with the mean net income of 4.0 lakh/ha. *Pangasius sutchi* and Catla performed better in terms of

growth in farm ponds under the composite culture system giving more benefits to the farmer.




Fig. 1. Mean weight of fish in the composite fish culture demonstration




Fig. 2. Mean fish yield from the composite fish culture demonstration

Table 1. Results of water	r quality parameters rang	e in the demonstration
---------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------

Parameters (Range)	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	Mean variation
рН	6.1-7.6	6.7-7.3	6.4-7.4	6.1-7.6
Hardness (mg/l)	48-92	59-77	43-89	43-92
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	5.3-7.4	5.3-6.8	6.3-7.1	5.3-7.4
Turbidity (cm)	43-90	39-79	41-86	39-90

Table 2. Results of mean growth of fish in the demonstration of composite fish culture

Mean Growth (Kg)	Pangasius sutchi	Catla	Rohu	Common carp
2019-20	0.948 <u>+</u> 0.33	0.902 <u>+</u> 0.18	0.883 <u>+</u> 0.11	0.751 <u>+</u> 0.11
2020-21	0.961 <u>+</u> 0.20	0.964 <u>+</u> 0.20	0.885 <u>+</u> 0.05	0.651 <u>+</u> 0.06
2021-22	0.901+0.16	0.975+0.08	0.859+0.06	0.642+0.24
Farmers practice	0.508 <u>+</u> 0.12	0.694 <u>+</u> 0.14	0.468 <u>+</u> 0.08	0.447 <u>+</u> 0.11

Table 3. Results of the demonstration of composite fish culture

Production details	Yield (qtl/ha)	Gross cost (Rs)	Gross Return (Rs)	Net Return (Rs)	B:C
2019-20	72.695	299150	726946	427796	2.43
2020-21	68.481	302567	684809	382242	2.26
2021-22	71.689	304491	716888	412397	2.35
Farmers practice	51.990	270719	457933	187214	1.69

4. CONCLUSION

The total yield from the demonstration varied between the demonstrated years with a minimum yield of 68.451 qtl/ ha in 2020-21 and a maximum of 72.695 qtl/ha during 2019-20. Adoption of fisheries technologies in coastal districts of Karnataka is still in a gentle stage (Savita singhal et al 2017) and with more awareness and demonstration such as these will have more impact on the uptake.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are highly thankful to ATARI, Bengaluru for providing opportunity to do these demonstrations. We would like to thank Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar for supporting us in each and every stage of the demonstrations.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ananth, P. N., Sahoo, P. R., Dash, A. K., Pati B. K., Jayasankar, P., Sing S. R. K., (2014). A Study on Community Based Aquaculture Promoted by KVK-Khordha, Odisha, India, *Curr. World Environ.*, 9(3): 947-195.
- APHA (1985). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Assoc., American

Waterworks Assoc., Water Pollution Control Federation, Washington, DC.

- Garg, R. K., Rao, R. J., Uchchariya, D., Shukla, G., Saksena, D. N., (2010). Seasonal variations in water quality and major threats to Ramsagar reservoir, India. *Afr J Environ Sci Technol.*, 4(2): 061-076.
- Gupta, S. (2016) Pangasius pangasius (Hamilton, 1822), A Threatened Fish of Indian Subcontinent. J Aquac Res Development 7: 400.
- Mandal, S. C., Burman, D. and P. Das., (2011). Modern approach of composite fish culture - the examples of Andhra Pradesh (India) to emulate, *World Aquaculture*: 44-46.
- Mishra, P., A. P. Rao, A. C. Dwivedi, M. Mishra & S. K. Upadhyay (2007). Composite fish culture in district Faizabad: socio personal, economic and cultural constraints among fish farmers. *Journal of Natural Resource and Development*, 2(1):32-37.
- Philippe, C., (1998). In: The Biological Diversity and Aquaculture of Clariid and Pagasiid Catfishes in South-East Asia: Proceedings of the Mid-Term Workshop of the "Catfish Asia Project" [ed. by Marc, L., Antoine]-Mid-Term Workshop the Catfish Asia Project: 71-89.
- Radheyshyam, Saha, G. S., Barik, N. K., Eknath, A. E., De, H. K., Safui, L., Adhikari, S. and Chandra, S., (2011). Constraints to fish production in community ponds in Orissa, India. *Aquacult. Asia*, 16(1): 25-30.
- Shukla, K. S., Tripathi, C. M., (2022). Dissemination of Fisheries Technologies through KVK in Chitrakoot District of Bundelkhand. International Journal of Advanced Research and Publications. 5(4):28-31.
- Singhal Savita, and Lalita vatta, (2017). Impact of Krishi Vigyan Kendra. Adoption of Agricultural production practices, International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 6(2): 993 – 1000.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126557