

International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal 5(4): 1-4, 2016; Article no.INDJ.21501 ISSN: 2321-7235, NLM ID: 101632319



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

# A Self-Incriminating Case of Mythomania

# Sevda Korkmaz<sup>1\*</sup>, Sadullah Sağlam<sup>2</sup>, Sema Sağlam<sup>3</sup>, Ebru Fındıklı<sup>4</sup> and Murad Atmaca<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pschiatry, Fırat University, Medicine Faculty, Elazıg, Turkey.
<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurologia, Adıyaman University, Medicine Faculty, Adıyaman, Turkey.
<sup>3</sup>Department of Pschiatry, Adıyaman Mental Hospital, Adıyaman, Turkey.
<sup>4</sup>Department of Pschiatry, Sütçü İmam University, Medicine Faculty, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Authors SK and Sadullah Sağlam designed the study and wrote the protocol. Authors Sema Sağlam, EF, SK and MA preformed the statistical analysis, managed the literature search and wrote the first draft of the manuscript with assistance from author Sema Sağlam. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/INDJ/2016/21501 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Andrea Martinuzzi, Department of Neurology and Neurorehabilitation, University of Padova, Italy. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Takashi Ikeno, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Japan. (2) Jyotsana Shukla, Amity University, Lukncow, India. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11891</u>

Case Study

Received 20<sup>th</sup> August 2015 Accepted 6<sup>th</sup> October 2015 Published 19<sup>th</sup> October 2015

# ABSTRACT

A lie is changing the truth deliberately to reach an objective. This is frequently encountered in childhood period, as much adult individuals could sometimes use innocent lies throughout their lives. This condition that could be encountered often with children, could also be observed among healthy adults in the form of innocent lies. Mythomania, known as lying pathologically, is an individual lying about almost anything in various environments and believing in these lies himself. In literature, there have been case reports on mythomania and pseudologia fantastica. However, none of these studies mentioned a case, where the patient consistently self-incriminated. This article aims to analyze within the context of literature, the clinical findings about a patient suffering from mythomania, that continuously self-incriminates, and being tried for the crime of perpetration.

Keywords: Mythomania; perpetration; pseudologia fantastica.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: skorkmaz23@hotmail.com;

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

A lie is defined as changing the truth knowingly and willfully to serve a purpose [1]. This occurrence, which we could observe frequently with children, could be witnessed with healthy adult individuals in the form of innocent lies from time to time. However, the cases where lying continues chronically and repetitively, at a level that might cause social, domestic, and professional problems, fell into the category of pathological lying, which is a psychiatric concept. The concepts of Pseudologica Fantastica (PF) and mythomania could be used to define similar cases. Due to their similarities these concepts could be used interchangeably, although in reality there are differences between them. PF is defined as creating constant and persistent stories based on a truth that was skewed, exaggerated or enhanced with additions. The subject matter of the stories change, while the individual remains as the protagonist or the victim of the story [2]. On the other hand, mythomania differs from PF for in mythomania the individual could tell different stories in different environments [3]. Although there is no classified DSM Diagnosis for Mythomania, it is considered as a symptom that could accompany a psychiatric disease. There are case reports in the literature both on mythomania and PF [4-6]. However, none of these cases mentioned a mythomania patient who charges himself with a crime continuously. An examination of criminal justice cases on fabrication of crimes demonstrated that these perpetrators always charged others for the crimes. Thus, it was considered that the subject matter of this study would contribute to the literature since it was a criminal justice case of mythomania where the individual accused himself for the crime.

# 2. CASE REPORT

38 years old, married with 3 children, primary school graduate male patient was brought to our clinic by the police. His physical examination results were considered normal. The patient, who looked older than his age and mentally retarded, had poor self-care, and was cooperative with a good level of willingness to communicate. The patient was distracted, but had no symptoms of perception disorder. There were no hallucinations or obsessions in his intellectual structure. A slight impairment was observed in his social adaptation. He stated that he was not ill and brought to the hospital by the police by force. He claimed that he had 3 cases in the court against him, but he was innocent in all of these, however he claimed that he stayed in the jail for 16 years as a result of these cases. In the first case he stated, he shot his wife and two children by mistake while he cleaned his weapon, the children died on the spot and his wife was wounded, who later died while he was in prison. He claimed that after completing his 8 years long jail term, he married for the second time, but again convicted for carrying illegal substances for 4 years during the first year of his second marriage. He finally stated that he was convicted again for another 4 years for fighting with an individual, who called him on the phone and falsely claimed that his wife died in a traffic accident. The review of his history did not reveal any organic diseases. There were no stories of alcohol or substance use with the exception of smoking. He had no relatives under psychiatric care. The anamnesis taken from the relatives demonstrated that he was married twice; he staved married to his first wife only for two months, and she filed for a divorce on the grounds that he lied all the time. He never received inpatient or outpatient psychiatric care and was never subject to a judicial process in his life and completed his military service in time. His relatives stated that the patient constantly lied, based his life on lies, and even divorced from his wife because of these lies. They claimed that he came up with different lies everyday, and finally a case was brought against him for fabricating crimes since he claimed everywhere that he had killed his children.

#### **3. CLINICAL OBSERVATION**

As a result of the polyclinic examination during the patient's application to the hospital, it was decided to monitor the patient. As the statements of the patient continued, the desire to attract attention, variable and shallow emotions, suggestibility and the inclination to exaggerate were indicated in the patient. The patient, whose outlook displayed borderline intellectual activity, scored 79-80 on the IQ test. Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory was requested from the patient whose histrionic personality characteristics were prominent, however he could not finish the test. The conducted short psychiatric evaluation scale indicated 5 points symptoms). To exclude a possible (no neurological disease, a neurological consultation was requested. The patient had normal abstraction, reality testing and reasoning skills. MR (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) was requested for the patient, for whom confabulation

diagnosis was excluded in the neurological examination performed, to eliminate other possible organic factors. MR results did not demonstrate any pathologic symptom. All routine blood and urine tests including EEG and toxicology panel were considered normal. At the end of the initial one-month period without medication, since the statements of the patient did not change, and since it was considered that the existing claims could have been due to psychotic reasons, Olanzapine 5 mg/day treatment was commenced. Patient had a few unsuccessful escape attempts from the service due to his denied requests of discharge. The patient commenced to harm himself and the environment because of the increase in his agitation and aggression, and his antipsychotic dose was gradually increased up to 20 mg/day. Despite a month long drug treatment, no changes were observed in patient's discourse or in the clinical picture. At the end of the two months long observation, drug treatment was ceased since the existing condition of the patient was evaluated as borderline mental capacity and mythomania. It was concluded that the patient carried full criminal capacity for the crime he committed. A supportive approach was initiated and an attempt to promote his self-esteem was undertaken by highlighting his positive traits. When he was discharged, there were minor improvements in the patient's discourse; he accepted that perhaps a small portion of his statements could have been false representation of the truth. A follow-up appointment was planned for a month later, but the patient never showed up for it, thus his last status could not be evaluated.

# 4. DISCUSSION

This case is significant since it was a case of mythomania where the patient, different from other cases of the crime of falsification a crime. only charged himself, not others, for the crime he claimed to commit. The patient's discourse was not evaluated as hallucinations, and was never defined in the literature before. The case did not meet the conditions of diagnosis for pseudologia fantastica. Since, in pseudologia fantastica the story is constant and persistent. Furthermore, the story is related to the facts [7]. However with our patient, the stories were presented in different forms in different social environments and had no relations whatsoever with the reality. Since the patient accepted the facts when he was exposed to reality, it was determined that his discourse was not delusional. The provisional diagnosis of simulation was also discarded, since there was no significant secondary benefit for the patient. Parallel to the anamnesis taken from the patient and his relatives, since no active psychiatric symptoms were observed with the patient except for lying, and since there were no periodic complaints and symptoms particular to bipolar disorder, a differential diagnosis was conducted for bipolar disorder. It is observed more in individuals with pathological lying, antisocial personality disorder, borderline personality disorder and histrionic personality disorder. Histrionic personality traits such as desire to attract attention, variable and shallow emotions, suggestibility, and exaggeration tendencies helped to shape the clinical picture with our patient. The patient, whose neuroloaic examination and all conducted tests were considered normal, was diagnosed with mythomania and borderline mental capacity based on DSM 4-TR [8] diagnostic criteria. A story of a judicial patient where artifact psychosis symptoms accompanied a borderline personality organization was presented in this article.

# 5. CONCLUSION

The manuscript is on the clinics of a patient with mythomania, who was prosecuted for his statements. We consider the presentation of this case and similar cases would constitute a contribution to the literature.

# CONSENT

All authors declare that 'written informed consent was obtained from the patient (or other approved parties) for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

# ETHICAL APPROVAL

All authors hereby declare that all experiments have been examined and approved by the appropriate ethics committee and have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Arslan H, Evlice Y. E. Psödologia Fantastika imaginary lie. Crisis Journal 1995;3(1-2):57-60.

- Ben Thabet J, Zouari N, Charfeddine F, Zouari L, Maâlej M. Psychodynamic and forensic approach of c onstitutional mythomania: A case report. Encephale. 2012;38(6):504-11.
- 3. Wiersma D. On pathological lying. Character and Personality 1933;2:48–61.
- Birch C, Kelln B. A review and case report of pseudologia fantastica. J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol. 2006;17(2):299-320.
- Groen WB, The GK, Pop-Purceleanu M Lagro-Janssen T, Fortuyn HA, Voshaar RC. Pseudologia fantastica. Recognition

and treatment of pathological lying. Ned Tijdschr Genees kd. 2009;153:A139.

- Korenis P, Gonzalez L, Kadriu B, Tyagi A, Udolisa A. Pseudologia fantastica: forensic and clinical treatment implications. Compr Psychiatry. 2015;56:17-20.
- 7. King BH and Ford CV. Pseudologia fantastica Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1988;77(1):1–6.
- American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th edition (DSM IV-TR). Text Revision, Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association. 2000;458-512.

© 2016 Korkmaz et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11891