

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 16, Page 67-73, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.101967 ISSN: 2320-7035

Effects of Nutrient Management on Growth Indices and Grain Yield of Different Crop Establishment Methods in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Ravi Verma ^{a++*}, A. K. Singh ^{a#}, Shivanand Maurya ^{a++}, Ram Prakash ^{a++} and Divya Singh ^{a++}

^a Department of Agronomy, A. N. D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i163131

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101967

Original Research Article

Received: 10/04/2023 Accepted: 17/06/2023 Published: 19/06/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during two consecutive Kharif seasons, 2021 and 2022 at Agronomy Research Farm, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj Ayodhya (Uttar Pradesh, India) to assess the effect of crop establishment methods and nutrient management on growth indices and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The experiment was conducted in split plot design which comprised of four crop establishment methods. There are: M_1 transplanting (Conventional); M_2 direct seeded rice; M_3 drum seeded rice and M_4 broadcasting sprouted seed under puddled condition were kept in main plot while five nutrient management practices were kept in sub plot. They are: N_0 Control; N_1 Recommended dose of fertilizer

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 16, pp. 67-73, 2023

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#]Associate Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: raviv5719@gmail.com;

(150:60:40 kg/ha NPK); N₂: RDF + Zn@5kg/ha; N₃: RDF + S@40 kg/ha; N₄: RDF + Zn + S (@5 + 40 kg/ha). The experiment was replicated three times. Significantly higher crop growth rate, absolute growth rate, relative growth rate and net assimilation rate growth and grain yield was recorded in transplanting methods during both the years of investigation. Among the nutrients management; higher value of all the growth indices and grain yield was recorded in 150:60:60 kg/ha NPK + Zn (5 kg/ha) + Sulphur (40 kg/ha).

Keywords: Direct seeded rice; drum seeder; grain yield; growth indices; transplanted rice.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a most important staple food crops in India as well as in the world. It plays a major role in food security. Rice is the major source of calories for 40 percent of the world population [1]. In general, it is considered one of the fertilizers use efficient food crops besides being labour intensive. Recent advances in the development of varieties suiting to different rice eco systems, have offered opportunity to harvest higher yields. However, escalating costs of irrigation, labour, fertilizers, plant protection measures have contributed in bringing down the benefit–cost ratio of rice cultivation. As a result, research efforts have been made to develop cost effective technologies.

Crop establishment methods largely affects the performance of rice as a result of its growth and development. Rice cultivation in India is predominantly practiced under transplanting method that involves raising, uprooting and transplanting of seedlings [2]. It is the most prominent and traditional method of establishment on low land irrigated area.

Nutrient-management practices play an important role in growth and development of rice. Proper utilization and combination of nutrients have a significant effect on the proper growth which in turn enhances its yield attributes and yield. As rice is the major nutrient draining crop, there will be huge deficit in the soil nutrients in rice-based cropping system. To overcome the problem and maintain soil fertility, there is need for integration of nutrients from organic and inorganic sources which can help in obtaining good crop yields as well as the production sustainability [3].

Nitrogen is the most important nutrient in the productivity of rice. Worldwide N recovery efficiency for cereal production including rice is approximately 35%. Among the micronutrients, Zn deficiency is occurring in both crops and humans [4]. Zn deficiency results in the inability

of rice plant to support root respiration during flooded conditions [5]. Sulphur is ranked as 4th most essential nutrient after nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium which plays a vital role in crop production. Sulphur as an essential mineral nutrient plays a key role in protein production, chlorophyll formation and oil synthesis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during kharif seasons of 2021 and 2022 at Agronomy Research farm, Acharya Narendra Deva of Agriculture and Technology, University Kumargani, Avodhya (Uttar Pradesh, India), which is situated at latitude of 26°47' North and longitude 82°12' East and at an altitude of 113 metre above mean sea level. The climate of the site is semi-arid with hot summer and cold winter with average rainfall received during the cropping period (June-September) was 796.9 mm. The experiment was conducted in split plot design (SPD). Twenty treatment combinations which comprised of four crop establishment methods, M₁: Transplanting (Conventional); M₂: Direct seeded rice; M₃: Drum seeded under puddled condition and M₄: Broadcasting of sprouted seed under puddled condition were kept in the main plot while, five nutrient management practices N₀: Control; N₁: RDF (150:60:60 kg/ha NPK); N₂: RDF + Zn @5 kg/ha; N₃: RDF + Sulphur @40 kg/ha and N₄: RDF + Zn (5 kg/ha) + Sulphur (40 kg/ha), respectively were kept in sub plots and replicated three times in split plot design. Soil was sampled before sowing/transplanting and after harvest of the crop to know the fertility status of the experiment field. The growth analysis was done as per standard procedures;

Crop Growth rate was worked out by using the following formula proposed by Watson [6] and expressed as $g/m^2/day$.

$$CGR = \frac{1}{A} \times \frac{W_2 - W_1}{T_2 - T_1}$$

Where,

 W_1 and W_2 are dry matter of crop (g) at time t_1 and t_2 respectively.

P= Ground area covered by crop (m^2) .

Absolute growth rate is expressed in g/day was calculated as follow;

$$AGR = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{T_2 - T_1}$$

Where,

 W_2 and W_1 are the total dry weight of the plant (g) at time t_2 and t_1 , respectively.

Relative Growth rate is expressed in g/g/day was calculated using the following formula suggested by Blackman [7].

$$\mathrm{RGR} = \frac{\mathrm{Log}_{e}\mathrm{W}_{2} - \mathrm{Log}_{e}\mathrm{W}_{1}}{\mathrm{t}_{2} - \mathrm{t}_{1}}$$

Where,

 W_1 and W_2 are dry weight (g) of crop at time t_1 and t_2 respectively.

Net Assimilation Rate is expressed in g/cm²/day was calculated by using the formula as suggested by Williams [8] and expressed as mass /unit leaf area per unit time (g/cm²/day).

$$NAR = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \frac{Log_e LA_2 - Log_e LA_1}{LA_2 - LA_1}$$

Where,

 W_1 and W_2 is dry weight of plant at time t_1 and t_2 respectively. LA₁ & LA₂ is the leaf area at times T_1 and T_2 respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Crop Growth Rate (CGR)

Crop Growth rate was significantly affected due to crop establishment methods and nutrient management practices (Table 1). The higher crop growth rate (10.50 and 10.63 and 11.05 and 11.18 g/m²/day) at 60-90 and 90-120 days after sowing/days after transplanting was recorded with transplanting method of crop establishment treatment during both the years, which was at par with M₃ treatment except during second year of 60-90 DAS/DAT, while during 2021 higher CGR was recorded with M₁ (10.98 g/m²/day) and in 2022, it was recorded with M₃ (11.43) being at par with M_1 . However, the lowest CGR 9.45 and 9.76, 8.73 and 8.90 and 9.19 and 9.26 was recorded with M_4 at all the stages of crop growth.

Among the different nutrient management practices, higher CGR of 12.50 and 12.11, 12.88 and 12.58, 10.38 and 10.28, 10.59 and 10.37, 10.93 and 10.82, 11.02 and 10.91 was noted between 30-60, 60-90, 90-120 DAS/DAT stage with N_4 treatment which was at par with N_2 and superior over rest of the treatment. Although, lowest CGR was observed at all the stages during both the years of investigation.

This might due to the increased in leaf area, leaf number and vegetative growth of plants which increasing the photosynthetic activity; consequently, the higher dry matter produced and increased crop growth rate (CGR). The increase in CGR ultimately increases the total dry matter Gulser [9].

3.2 Absolute Growth Rate (AGR)

Absolute growth rate (g day⁻¹) decreased with advancement of the crop growth and reaches to minimum between 90-120 DAS/DAT, irrespective of the treatment during both the years (Table 2). Between various treatment of crop, AGR of rice differed significantly due to crop establishment methods and nutrient management practices. The maximum AGR (1.07 and 0.97, 1.09 and 0.99, 0.50 and 0.47, 0.52 and 0.49, 0.29 and 0.28, 0.30 and 0.29) was recorded in M₁ at all the stages of crop growth. While, the minimum absolute growth rate was noted under M₄ (0.84, 0.87, 0.44, 0.46, 0.26 and 0.27).

Among the different nutrient management practices, higher AGR of 12.50 and 12.11, 12.88 and 12.58, 10.38 and 10.28, 10.59 and 10.37, 10.93 and 10.82, 11.02 and 10.91 was noted between 30-60, 60-90, 90-120 DAS/DAT stage with N_4 treatment which was at par with N_2 , while significant over rest of the treatment.

The higher growth rate with the combined use of organic and inorganic was due to rapid growth caused by adequate nutrient supply to the crops, which resulted in an increase of various metabolic processes and better mobilisation of synthesized carbohydrates in amino acids and proteins, which in turn increased the rapid cell division and cell elongation and allowing the plant to grow faster [10,11].

Treatments		Crop Growth Rate (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹)							
	30-60	30-60 DAS /DAT		60-90 DAS /DAT		90-120 DAS /DAT			
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022			
Crop establishmen	t methods								
M ₁	10.98	11.35	10.50	10.63	11.05	11.18			
M ₂	9.70	10.13	9.29	9.37	9.78	9.86			
M ₃	10.96	11.43	9.89	9.97	10.4	10.49			
M ₄	9.45	9.76	8.73	8.90	9.19	9.26			
SEm±	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.23			
CD at 5%	0.77	0.73	0.69	0.63	0.76	0.78			
Nutrient manageme	ent practices								
N ₀	5.25	5.42	7.06	7.17	7.44	7.54			
N ₁	10.65	11.10	10.07	10.15	10.60	10.68			
N ₂	12.11	12.58	10.28	10.37	10.82	10.91			
N ₃	10.87	11.34	10.23	10.31	10.77	10.85			
N ₄	12.50	12.88	10.38	10.59	10.93	11.02			
SEm ±	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.20			
CD at 5%	0.59	0.58	0.62	0.55	0.63	0.59			

Table 1. Crop growth rate (g m⁻² day⁻¹) of rice as affected by different crop establishment methods and nutrient management

 Table 2. Absolute growth rate (g day⁻¹) of rice as affected by different crop establishment methods and nutrient management

Treatments	Absolute Growth Rate (g day ⁻¹)							
	30-60	30-60 DAS/DAT		60-90 DAS/DAT		90-120 DAS/DAT		
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022		
Crop establishmen	t methods							
M ₁	1.07	1.09	0.50	0.52	0.29	0.30		
M ₂	0.90	0.92	0.45	0.47	0.27	0.28		
M ₃	0.97	0.99	0.47	0.49	0.28	0.29		
M ₄	0.84	0.87	0.44	0.46	0.26	0.27		
SEm±	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.005	0.01		
CD at 5%	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02		
Nutrient manageme	ent practices							
N ₀	0.6	0.62	0.33	0.34	0.15	016		
N ₁	0.96	0.97	0.46	0.48	0.27	0.27		
N ₂	1.06	1.08	0.52	0.54	0.34	0.35		
N ₃	0.99	1.05	0.48	0.51	0.27	0.29		
N ₄	1.11	1.12	0.54	0.55	0.36	0.37		
SEm±	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.011	0.01	0.01		
CD at 5%	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02		

3.3 Relative Growth Rate (RGR)

Higher Relative growth rate (g/g/day) was recorded between 30-60 DAS/DAT and decreases with the advancement of crop growth irrespective of the treatment (Table 3). Between various treatment of crop, RGR of rice did not differed significantly due to crop establishment methods at various interval of crop growth, except between 30-60 DAS/DAT, while varied significantly due to nutrient management practices at various intervals of crop growth during both the years, except between 90-120 DAS/DAT.

Higher RGR was recorded in M_1 between 60-90, 90-120 DAS/DAT, while the minimum was under M_4 , however between 30-60 DAS/DAT the maximum RGR (29.69 and 30.15 g/g/day) was found in M_3 which was at par with M_1 and M_2 and differed significantly with M_4 (27.22 and 27.50) during both the years.

Treatments	Relative Growth Rate (g g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)							
	30-60 DAS/DAT		60-90 DAS/DAT		90-120 DAS/DAT			
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022		
Crop establishment method								
M ₁	29.50	29.73	14.96	14.83	10.75	10.68		
M ₂	27.56	28.01	14.56	14.29	10.55	10.41		
M ₃	29.69	30.15	14.37	14.08	10.45	10.31		
M ₄	27.22	27.50	14.07	13.97	10.31	10.16		
SEm±	0.61	0.64	0.32	0.35	0.24	0.22		
CD at 5%	2.13	2.21	1.13	1.23	0.85	0.77		
Nutrient management								
No	18.12	18.32	14.94	14.83	10.75	10.68		
N ₁	29.89	30.37	14.81	14.53	10.68	10.53		
N ₂	31.94	32.35	14.02	13.76	10.28	10.15		
N ₃	30.13	30.61	14.81	14.52	10.67	10.52		
N ₄	32.37	32.60	13.86	13.81	10.2	10.07		
SEm±	0.53	0.64	0.28	0.31	0.21	0.20		
CD at 5%	1.53	1.87	0.82	0.89	0.62	0.58		

Table 3. Relative growth rate (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) of rice as affected by different crop establishment methods and nutrient management

Among different nutrient management practices, the higher RGR was recorded under control between 60-90, 90-120 DAS/DAT, being on par with N_1 , N_2 and N_3 between 60-90 DAS/DAT during both the years of investigation. While, between 30-60 DAS/DAT the maximum RGR (32.37 and 32.60 g/g/day) was recorded under N_4 which differed significantly with rest of treatments.

Higher plant height, leaf area index with more number of tillers contributed to the growth parameters of rice resulted in higher RGR. As the result of more number of leaves resulted in high Leaf area index which will harvest maximum solar radiation within the canopy resulting in production of high dry matter in crop Baloch et al. [12].

3.4 Net Assimilation Rate (NAR) and Grain Yield

Higher NAR at 30-60 DAS/DAT was recorded under Drum seeded technique which was at par with transplanting method while 60-90 DAS/DAT highest NAR was recorded under transplanting method which was at par with Drum seeded technique while significant over rest both of the establishment method. Among different nutrient management practices, the higher NAR at 30-60 DAS/DAT was recorded under N₄ treatment which was at par with N₂ treatment while 60-90 DAS/DAT highest NAR was recorded under N₃ treatment which was at par with N₂ treatment while significant over rest of the nutrient management practices. Increased in net assimilation rate enhances photosynthetic capacity of leaves with improved nutrition of the plants thereby increasing dry matter accumulation at final harvest [13].

Grain yield was recorded higher in transplanting method (51.25 and 52.54 q/ ha) which was at par with Drum seeded techniques while significantly superior over rest of the treatment during both the years (Table 4). Among different nutrient management practices, the higher grain yield was recorded under N₄ treatment which was at par with N₂ treatment both the year while significant over rest of the nutrient management practices.

Improvement in yield attributes may be ascribed to adequate and regular nutrients supplying capacity of the soil and translocation of nutrients to the sink. The improvement in yield and yield traits under higher level nutrients might be due to higher absorption of nutrients and increased photosynthesis activity leading to higher accumulation of biomass. Similar findings were also reported by Mahmud et al. [14].

Treatments	Net	Net Assimilation Rate (g cm ⁻² day ⁻¹)				Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)	
	30-60	30-60 DAS/DAT		60-90 DAS/DAT			
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	
Crop establishmen	t method						
M ₁	3.16	3.21	1.99	1.97	51.25	52.54	
M ₂	2.97	3.04	1.92	1.90	46.46	47.64	
M_3	3.27	3.34	1.97	1.94	49.34	50.58	
M_4	2.95	2.99	1.86	1.86	44.54	45.67	
SEm±	0.067	0.062	0.048	0.044	0.96	0.89	
CD at 5%	0.23	0.21	0.16	0.15	3.31	3.07	
Nutrient managem	ent						
N ₀	1.71	1.73	1.63	1.62	32.58	33.4	
N ₁	3.27	3.35	2.05	2.02	49.81	51.08	
N ₂	3.57	3.65	2	1.98	52.70	54.01	
N ₃	3.27	3.35	2.03	2	50.76	52.05	
N ₄	3.61	3.65	1.97	1.97	53.64	55	
SEm±	0.070	0.063	0.042	0.039	0.98	0.95	
CD at 5%	0.20	0.18	0.12	0.11	2.81	2.73	

Table 4. Net assimilation rate (g cm⁻² day⁻¹) of rice as affected by different crop establishment methods and Nutrient management

4. CONCLUSION

Conclusively, transplanting of rice (Conventional) at 20 x 10 cm with application of RDF (150:60:60 kg/ha NPK) + Zinc (5 kg/ha) + Sulphur (40 kg/ha) recorded the higher value of growth indices, and grain yield of rice crop under agroclimatic condition of Eastern Uttar Pradesh.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We convey our thanks to the head of the department, for providing facilities for the completion of whole research work and faculty members of departments of Agronomy, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India, for their encouragement and cooperation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Virdia HM, Mehta HD. Integrated nutrient management in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Rice Research. 2009; 2(2):99-104.
- 2. Tomar R, Singh NB, Singh V, Kumar D. Effect of planting methods and integrated nutrient management on growth

parameters, yield and economics of rice. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(2):520-527.

- 3. Shankar T, Maitra S, Ram MS, Mahapatra R. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield attributes of summer rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Crop Res.* 2020;55(1):1-5.
- 4. White JG, Zasoski RJ. Mapping soil micronutrients. Field Crops Research. 1999;60:11-26.
- Slaton NA, Norman RJ, Wilson JR. Effect of zinc sources and application time on zinc uptake and grain yield of floodirrigated rice. Agronomy Journal. 2005;92:272–8.
- Watson DJ. Comparative physiological studies on the growth of field crops: I. Variation in net assimilation rate and leaf area between species and varieties and within and between years. Annals of Botany. 1947;11:41–76.
- Blackman VH. The compound interest law and plant growth. Annals of Botany. 1919; 33:353–360.
- Williams SRF. Methods of growth analysis. In: Plant photosynthetic production manual methods (Sestak Z, Catasky J, Jouris PJ (eds). Drow, Jenk NU. Publishers. The Hague. 1946;348-391.
- 9. Gulser F. Effect of ammonium sulphate and urea on NO_3 and NO_2 accumulation nutrient contents and yield criteria in spinach. Scientia Horticulturae. 2005;106: 330-340.

- Awan IU, Jaskani AG, Nadeem MA. Nitrogen use efficiency in rice (Oryza sativa L.) as affected by green manuring plant Dhaincha (*Sesbania aculeate* L.). Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 20003(11):1827-1828.
- 11. Parasuraman P. Integrated nitrogen management in rice (*Oryza sativa*) through split application of fertilisers at different levels with green manure. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2005;39(1):90-94.
- 12. Baloch MS, Awan IU, Hassan G, Zubair M. Studies on plant population and stand establishment techniques for increasing

productivity of rice in Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan. Rice Science. 2007;14(2): 118-124.

- Ahmad NR, Ahmad S, Bokhari, Ghani A. Physiological determinants of growth andyield in wheat as affected by different levels of nitrogen and phosphorous. Pakistan J. Agri. Sci. 1990; 27:390-404.
- Mahmud AJ, Shamsuddoha ATM, Nazmul HM. Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on the Growth and Yield of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Nature and Science. 2016;14(2):45-54.

© 2023 Verma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101967