

Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

Volume 10, Issue 3, Page 244-248, 2024; Article no.AJSSPN.117347 ISSN: 2456-9682

# Effect of Foliar Application of Chemicals on Shelf Life of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L.) cv. Apple Ber

# Monika Parashar <sup>a++\*</sup>, Satpal Baloda <sup>a#</sup>, Sakshi Grewal <sup>a†</sup> and Bipuljee <sup>b++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, RPCAU Pusa, Bihar, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2024/v10i3334

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117347

Short Research Article

Received: 26/03/2024 Accepted: 31/05/2024 Published: 03/07/2024

# ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted out at Experimental orchard, Post-harvest Technology Laboratory of Department of Horticulture and laboratory of Department of Soil Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during the year 2022-23. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with thirteen treatments and three replications *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1.0%), T<sub>2</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1.5%), T<sub>3</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (2.0%), T<sub>4</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (2.5%), T<sub>5</sub>: Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.5%), T<sub>6</sub>: Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.0%), T<sub>7</sub>: Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.5%), T<sub>8</sub>: Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2.0%), T<sub>9</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 150 ppm , T<sub>10</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 300 ppm), T<sub>11</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 450 ppm T<sub>12</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 600 ppm T<sub>13</sub>: Control (water spray). The each

*Cite as:* Parashar, Monika, Satpal Baloda, Sakshi Grewal, and Bipuljee. 2024. "Effect of Foliar Application of Chemicals on Shelf Life of Ber (Ziziphus Mauritiana L.) Cv. Apple Ber". Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 10 (3):244-48. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2024/v10i3334.

<sup>++</sup> Research Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Horticulturist;

<sup>†</sup> Ph.D Scholar;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: monikaparashar33@gmail.com;

treatments were applied two times *i.e.* first spray in the 3rd week of december and second spray at 10 days after first spray i.e. in the first week of january until total saturation of foliage of experimental plants. The control trees were sprayed with water. Among the shelf life parameters minimum spoilage % and minimum loss in PLW % was observed in case of calcium nitrate @ 2.0 % which further improve the shelf life of ber fruits.

Keywords: Foliar application; potassium sulphate; calcium nitrate; ethephone; plw %; spoilage %; shelf life.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Ber or Indian jujube (Zizyphus mauritiana Lamk.) belongs to family Rhamnaceae is considered as an ideal fruit tree for arid and semi arid regions of the country. It is originated from Central Asia and there are numerous species and varieties of it. The ber fruit crop is distributed worldwide including the Africa, Indian Subcontinent, China, South East Asia, Australia, Mediterranean region and American center but its cultivation is confined to dried parts of the globe and main cultivation occurs in India. In India, it occupies 53.74 thousand hectare area with production of 596.14 MT and the major ber growing states are Rajasthan, Haryana, Punjab, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. In Haryana, it occupies 4.43 thousand hectare area with the production of 46.95 MT [1] and major ber growing district are Hisar, Mewat, Palwal, Rewari, Mahendregarh, Gurugram, Sirsa, Fatehabad, Panipat, Sonipat, Bhiwani, Jhajjar, Rohtak and Jind. Apple Ber is a variety of ber from Thailand and developed from Thailand green apple and Thai local ber. The fruits of this variety resembles green apple in its appearance and taste like ber, hence known as Apple Ber. It is also called as Apple plum or Jujube berry [2]. The ripe fruit are rich in nutritive value having 13-24% total soluble solids, and up to 160 mg/100g vitamin C and contain fairly good amount of mineral like calcium, phosphorus and iron and also higher in ascorbic acid content than the orange [2]. The inflorescence of ber fruit is axillary cymose. The fruits are borne in the axils of the leaves on the young shoots of the current season. Fully mature unripe fruits are used for preparation of murabba, candy, pickle and chutney. It is grown for its fresh fruits and is commonly known as the poor man's apple due to its great nutritional properties such as protein (0.8g), carotene (70 IU), vitamin C (50-100 mg), and therapeutic value (Rai and Gupta, 1994). In this experiment, the emphasis was made to study the effect of foliar application of chemicals on yield and quality of ber. Therefore the aim of present experiment is to study the effect of

potassium sulphate, calcium nitrate and ethephon on shelf life of ber.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study entitled "Effect of foliar application of chemicals on shelf life of ber (Ziziphus mauritiana L.)" cv. Apple ber was conducted at Experimental orchard, Post-harvest Technology Laboratory of Department of Horticulture and laboratory of Department of Soil Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during the year 2022-23. The ber variety used was apple ber and the age of plant was 5 vears. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with thirteen treatments and three replications viz., T1: K2SO4 (1.0%), T<sub>2</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1.5%), T<sub>3</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (2.0%), T<sub>4</sub>: (0.5%), T<sub>6</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (2.5%), T<sub>5</sub>: Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.0%), T<sub>7</sub>: Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.5%), T<sub>8</sub>: Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2.0%), T<sub>9</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 150 ppm , T<sub>10</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 300 ppm, T<sub>11</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 450 ppm T<sub>12</sub>: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>P@ 600 ppm T<sub>13</sub>: Control (water spray). The each treatments were applied two times *i.e.* first spray in the 3rd week of december and second spray at 10 days after first spray in the first week of january until total saturation of foliage of experimental plants. The control trees were sprayed with water. However, response of plants to these may vary depending upon the soil and agro-climatic conditions.

The observations were recorded on tagged shoots from all directions of experimental plants of each replication. The observations were recorded on tagged shoots from all directions of experimental plants of each replication. The shelf life of the fruits was determined by recording the number of days the fruits remained in good condition in each replication during storage. When spoilage of the fruits exceeded 10 per cent, it was considered end of storage life. The fruits (2 kg/treatment) were packed in corrugated fibre board boxes and stored at room temperature under ambient condition. The observations were recorded at two days interval up to 8 days. The physiological losses in weight

(PLW) of fruits were calculated on their initial weight basis. After each interval weight of the fruits were recorded and per cent of physiological loss in weight (PLW) was calculated by recorded both final weight and initial weight of fruits. The number of fruits spoiled in each replication was counted at 2 days interval and spoilage per cent was calculated as given below storage out of total fruits stored was computed and expressed in percentage. The spoilage % was determined based on the following visual observations.

- 1. Fungal infections and rotting
- 2. Over-ripening and skin browning

Spoilage% = Number of spoiled fruits/ number of fruits x 100

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Shelf Life

#### 3.1.1 Physiological loss in weight (PLW %)

Physiological loss in weight increased with increase in storage period irrespective of treatments. On 2<sup>nd</sup> day of storage among different chemical sprays, minimum weight loss (10.03 %) was recorded under calcium nitrate @ 2.0 per cent which was significantly lower than other treatments whereas, maximum weight loss (14.76 %) was recorded under ethephon @ 600 ppm.

On 4<sup>th</sup> day of storage minimum weight loss (16.74 %) was recorded under calcium nitrate @ 2.0 per cent which was significantly lower than other treatments whereas, maximum weight loss (18.78 %) was recorded under ethephon @ 600 ppm.

On 6<sup>th</sup> day of storage among different chemical spray, minimum weight loss (18.19 %) was recorded in case of calcium nitrate @ 2.0 per cent which was significantly lower than other treatments whereas, maximum weight loss (23.87 %) was recorded under ethephon @ 600 ppm.

On 8<sup>th</sup> day of storage among different chemical spray, minimum weight loss (19.97 %) was recorded under calcium nitrate @ 2.0 per cent which was significantly lower than rest of the treatments whereas, maximum weight loss (33.65 %) was recorded under ethephon @ 600 ppm.

The minimum decay loss was observed under  $Ca(NO_3)_2$  2.0 %. This might be due to higher firmness of fruit which might have delayed the pathogen and other microorganism infection for longer period [3]. The reduction in spoilage might have been possible checking the growth of various microflora. The results were in line with findings of Ramesh and Kumar [4] in banana, Goswami et al. [5] in guava and Kumar et al. [6] in ber.

Table 1. Effect of foliar application of potassium sulphate, calcium nitrate and ethephon on						
shelf life (PLW %) in ber						

PLW (%) Days after storage						
Treatment No.	Chemicals	2nd	4 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>	8 <sup>th</sup>	
T <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> @ 1.0 %	13.76	17.62	18.21	25.04	
T <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> @ 1.5 %	13.89	17.76	18.34	24.46	
T₃	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> @ 2.0 %	13.97	17.99	18.78	19.99	
<b>T</b> 4	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> @ 2.5 %	14.02	18.02	18.99	20.53	
<b>T</b> 5	Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> )2@ 0.5 %	13.23	17.53	19.18	28.34	
T <sub>6</sub>	Ca(NO3)2@ 1.0 %	12.85	17.22	19.02	25.58	
T <sub>7</sub>	Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> )2@ 1.5 %	11.08	16.98	18.25	20.01	
T <sub>8</sub>	Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> @ 2.0 %	10.03	16.74	18.19	19.97	
T9	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 150 ppm	14.46	18.51	22.54	28.63	
<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 300 ppm	14.35	18.57	22.64	28.65	
T <sub>11</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 450 ppm	14.55	18.61	22.75	28.78	
T <sub>12</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 600 ppm	14.76	18.78	23.87	33.65	
T <sub>13</sub>	Control- Water spray	13.98	17.89	22.87	28.99	
	CD at 5%	0.59	0.99	0.85	1.11	

Spoilage (%)							
Treatment No.	Chemicals	2 <sup>th</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day		
T <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> @ 1.0 %	0	25.02	30.08	62.23		
T <sub>2</sub>	K₂SO₄@ 1.5 %	0	24.98	31.42	61.35		
T₃	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> @ 2.0 %	0	24.79	31.45	61.11		
T <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> @ 2.5 %	0	24.99	30.09	60.29		
<b>T</b> 5	Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> )2@ 0.5 %	0	25.43	31.26	60.01		
$T_6$	Ca(NO3)2@ 1.0 %	0	25.32	31.17	59.89		
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> )2@ 1.5 %	0	25.21	29.98	59.24		
Τ <sub>8</sub>	Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> @ 2.0 %	0	23.99	26.56	57.78		
Т9	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 150 ppm	0	27.78	34.46	67.78		
<b>T</b> 10	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 300 ppm	0	27.84	35.69	68.51		
T <sub>11</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 450 ppm	0	28.46	36.78	68.98		
T <sub>12</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ClO <sub>3</sub> P@ 600 ppm	0	28.97	37.87	70.09		
T <sub>13</sub>	Control-Water spray	0	25.85	37.75	66.72		
	CD at 5%	0	0.999	0.824	2.514		

Table 2. Effect of foliar application of potassium sulphate, calciur	n nitrate and ethephon on					
shelf life (Spoilage (%) of ber						

### 3.1.2 Spoilage (%)

The data pertaining to spoilage per cent of fruits as influenced by the pre-harvest application of potassium sulphate, calcium nitrate and ethephon at different concentrations on ber cultivar. In all the treatments the spoilage per cent was not observed upto 4<sup>th</sup> day of storage. On 4<sup>th</sup> day of storage among different chemical sprays, minimum spoilage % was observed under calcium nitrate @ 2.0 % (23.99 %) and maximum spoilage % was observed under ethephon @ 600 ppm (28.97 %).

On 6<sup>th</sup> day of storage among different chemical sprays, minimum spoilage (26.56 %) was recorded under calcium nitrate @ 2.0 per cent and maximum was observed in case of ethephon spray @ 600 ppm (37.87). Similar trend was observed on 8<sup>th</sup> day of storage, minimum spoilage percentage was observed under calcium nitrate @ 2.0 per cent (57.78 %) while maximum was observed under ethephon @ 600 ppm (70.09 %).

Fruits high in calcium may have potential for better transportation and remains in good condition for longer duration because calcium decreases respiration rate, maintains fruit firmness, delays senescence and thus extends the storage life and reduces the incidence of physiological disorders during storage [7-15].

# 4. CONCLUSION

The results of the present study exhibited that pre-harvest application of chemicals had a

significant impact on shelf life parameters like physiological loss in weight (PLW) and spoilage percentage. PLW was in the acceptable range upto eight days of storage, where the minimum PLW and minimum spoilage % was recorded under Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> @ 2.0 %. The rapid loss of moisture through transpiration and respiration could be the reason of physiological loss in weight with increases in storage time. The biochemical reactions of the fruits changed continuously after harvest, which causes fruit softening and spoilage and ultimately degrades the quality of fruits.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Anonymous. Area and production of ber in India, 2021-2022 (3<sup>rd</sup> advance estimates). Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India (ON3019); 2022 Available:https://www.indiastat.com.
- Saritha B, Kumar TS, Kumar AK, Goud CR, Joshi V. Studies on effect of foliar sprays of nutrients on growth and quality of apple ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana*). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(12):417-422.
- 3. Rajput A, Tiwari R, Pandey A, Somvanshi SPS. Effect of pre-harvest application of Ca, Zn and B on prolonged storeability of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.); 2015.
- 4. Ramesh KA, Kumar N. Sulfate of potash foliar spray effects on yield, quality, and post-

harvest life of banana. Better Crops. 2007;91(2):22-24.

- Goswami AK, Shukla HS, Prabhat K, Mishra DS. Effect of pre-harvest application of micro-nutrients on quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. Hort Flora Research Spectrum. 2012;1(1):60-63.
- Kumar R, Ahlawat VP, Sehrawat SK, Dahiya DS. Effect of foliar application of potassium sulphate on the shelf life of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2013;42(1and 2):33-36.
- 7. Ferguson IB. Calcium in plant senescence and fruit ripening. Plant Cell and Environment. 1984;7:477–489.
- 8. AOAC. Official methods of analytical chemist, international, 17 Edn. Washington D.C; 2000.
- Brahmchari VS, Mandal AK, Kumar R, Rani R. Effect of growth substance on fruit-set and physicochemical characteristics of 'Sardar' guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Recent Hort. 1995;2(2):127-131.
- 10. Brahmachari VS, Kumar R. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on fruit set retention

and cracking 651 © 2016 PP House of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) fruits. Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science. 1997;26(3–4):177–180.

- 11. Brar JS, Dhaliwal HS, Bal JS. Influence of paclobutrazol and ethephon on fruit quality of Allahabad Safeda guava. Horticuture Flora Research Spectrum. 2012;1(2):135-138.
- 12. Byers RE. Controlling growth of bearing apple trees with ethephon. Hort Science. 1993;28(11):1103-1105
- 13. Rai M, Gupta PN. Genetic diversity in fruits of ber. Indian Horticulture; 1994.
- 14. Sharma SB, Ray PK, Rai R. The use of growth regulators for early ripening of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Journal of Horticultural Science. 2015;61(4): 533-534.
- Singh VK, Shanker K, Tiwari NK, Rao OP. Effect of certain nutrients on fruit set, fruit retention, physical characters and yield of ber fruit (*Zizyphus maurifiana* Lamk). cv. Banarasi Karaka. International Journal of Bio-Resource and Stress Management. 2016;7:648-652.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117347