

Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International

21(2): 1-11, 2019; Article no.JGEESI.48969
ISSN: 2454-7352

Role of Relief and Slope in Agricultural Land Use: A Case Study in Valapattanam River Basin in Kannur District, Kerala Using GIS and Remote Sensing

P. Jyothirmayi[1*] and B. Sukumar[2]

[1]Department of Geography, Nirmala College for Women (Autonomous), Coimbatore, 641018, India.
[2]National Centre for Earth Science Studies, Thiruvananthapuram, 695031, India (Retd.).

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out by Author PJ under the guidance of author BS. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2019/v21i230123
Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Pere Serra Ruiz, Department of Geography, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain.
(2) Dr. Wen-Cheng Liu, Department of Civil and Disaster Prevention Engineering, National United University, Taiwan, and Taiwan Typhoon and Flood Research Institute, National United University, Taipei, Taiwan.
Reviewers:
(1) Ebenezer Boakye, Takoradi Technical University, Ghana.
(2) Atun Roy Choudhury, India.
(3) Dileswar Nayak, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, India.
Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48969

Received 12 March 2019
Accepted 25 May 2019
Published 30 May 2019

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The land is a delineable area of the earth's surface, encompassing all attributes of the biosphere immediately above or below this surface. Physical characteristics of the land determine agricultural land use. Among them, relief and slope play an important role. Aim of this study is to establish the relationship of relief and slope with agricultural land use in Valapattanam River basin in Kannur district using GIS and Remote sensing.

The Survey of India Topographic maps in 1:50000 scale was used as a base map for delineating the basin. Contours were digitized and Digital Elevation Model (DEM) was generated. Agricultural land use map was prepared using satellite digital data by the digital image processing method using ERDAS IMAGINE image processing software. Agricultural land use map was intersected with the relief and slope classes in ArcGIS software. Areas were calculated and the trend of agricultural land use patterns was studied. The study revealed that there is a strong correlation between Agricultural

**Corresponding author: E-mail: pjyothirmayi@gmail.com;*

land use and relief and slope in the Valapattanam River basin.
Most of the area under paddy, coconut, mixed crops like banana and tapioca concentrated below 20 m height in the coastal plain and valley regions of the basin. Rubber mostly cultivated between 100 and 300 meters with slopes between 3 to 12 degrees. Agriculture is limited up to 18-degree slope and 300 m height. Areas of more than 300 m height are occupied mostly by forest.

Keywords: Remote sensing; topographic maps; landsat imagery; geographic information system.

1. INTRODUCTION

The land is the basis for many life support systems. Land is a delineable area of the earth's terrestrial surface, encompassing all attributes of the biosphere immediately above or below this surface, including those of the near-surface climate, the soil and terrain forms, the surface hydrology (including shallow lakes, rivers, marshes and swamps), the near-surface sedimentary layers and associated groundwater reserve, the plant and animal populations, the human settlement pattern and physical results of past and present human activity (terracing, water storage or drainage structures, roads, buildings, etc.) [1]. Wise land use/land cover is an essential basis for a healthy and prosperous future of the society. Functions of land are manifold and depend upon the site, situation and function. Land evaluation is part of the process of land-use planning. The essence of land evaluation is shell to compare or match the requirements of each potential land use with the characteristics of each kind of land. The result is a measure of the suitability of each kind of land use for each kind of land. Land properties vary in time and space. Land-use / land cover is dynamic. Over the years a variety of evaluation procedures have been proposed to cope with the complexity of land and its use.

Man's agricultural activities depend on the physical environment [2]. From the very beginning researchers who worked in the field of agricultural geography were attracted to the problem of explaining how variation in an environment influenced agricultural landscape [3]. Relief and slope have its own distinctive regional characterisation of the agricultural landscape. Since relief and slope impose physical restrictions on the regional distribution of agricultural activities, the analysis of patterns of agricultural practices is essential and provides guidelines for solving developmental problems. The relationship between cropland occupancy and physical environment has not been systematically and comprehensively investigated in many regions of the world. The direct effect of terrain on agriculture operates, particularly through relief and slope. They determine the pace of cultivation, farm mechanisation, the degree of accessibility, flooding of downstream areas and erosion and landslides in the upstream areas. Soil formation and its maturity are decided by the relief and slope. In the higher elevation, the soil would be immature with poor nutrients, which is not suitable for agriculture; whereas, in the lower reaches of streams and elevation, the soil is fertile, mature and highly suitable for agriculture. Elevation also controls the climate and suits to certain crops. Relief and slope control the recharge of groundwater and surface runoff which are related to agricultural land use.

Vink [4] established the relationship between Landscape Ecology and Land Use. FAO [5,6,7,8,9,10,11] brought out guidelines and methodologies for land evaluation and suitability analysis for agriculture. Noor Mohammad [12] and Singh in their study on Agricultural Geography emphasized the role of physical parameters like relief and slope. Terrain evaluation and its use in agriculture were studied by Raju et al. [13], Cooke et al. [14], Brinkman [15], Nagarathinam [16] and Burrough et al. [17]. Gautam *et al* [18,19,20,21,22] demonstrated how satellite remote sensing could be used for land use / land cover studies and Sukumar et al. [23,24] made studies using satellite remote sensing data and GIS related to agriculture. Integrated studies of environmental assessment, suitability analysis on River basin wise studies were made by Chattopadhyay et al. [25], NRSA [26], AnilKumar [27], Bhagat et al. [28] and Bandhopadhyay [29]. In most of the GIS and Remote sensing studies, the visual correlation was made by overlaying physical characteristics of the land with agricultural land use; whereas attempt was not made on agricultural land use areas with relief and slope categories in micro level. Jyothirmayi [30] attempted to find out agricultural land use areas with physical parameters like relief, landforms, slope, aspect and soil and their subclassification levels by intersection method of geoprocessing in ArcGIS

and suggested methods for sustainable agriculture by conserving land and water.

In this paper an attempt was made to see the relationship between relief and agricultural land use; and slope and agricultural land use in Valapattanam river basin in Kannur district using GIS and Remote Sensing.

1.1 Study Area

Valapattanam River is one of the important rivers in north Kerala. Out of the 44 rivers of Kerala, seven west-flowing rivers are in Kannur district and Valapattanam River is the longest among them. It is the ninth longest river in the State and by the quantum of water resources, it gains fourth place. The Valapattanam basin extends between latitudes 11°49'30" N and 12°13'50" North and longitudes 75°58'55" E and 75°17'22" East (Fig. 1). The length of the river is 110.50 Km with a catchment area of 1907 sq km of which approximately 1321 Sq km of the area falls within the territory of Kerala State and the remaining in the Karnataka State. The river covers about 43.45% of Kannur district. Important tributaries of Valapattanam River are Bavali, Aralam, Veni or Vallithodu, Iritty, Sreekandapuram rivers, and Kattampallipuzha.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

The Survey of India Topographic maps in 1:50000 scale was used as a base map for delineating the basin. Contours were digitized and DEM was created. Based on the contours a relief map was prepared (Fig. 2). Using DEM slope map was prepared (Fig. 3). ArcGIS software was used for integration and analysis. Spatial Analyst module was used for deriving slope map. Using Landsat imagery of ETM 2006 data and Google maps agricultural land use map was prepared using satellite digital data by digital image processing method with ERDAS IMAGINE image processing software (Fig. 4). Relief map was classified into 8 categories. Slope map was classified into 7 categories. Relief and slope map categories were intersected with agricultural land use categories (Figs. 5 to 19) and areas were calculated by geoprocessing method in the GIS environment. To show the relationship trend graphs were prepared. Correlation coefficients were also made to establish relationships.



Fig. 1. Location of Valapattanam river basin

3. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Relief

Relief is the difference between the highest and lowest elevations in an area. A relief map shows the topography of the area (Fig. 2). A relief shows changes in elevation over a given area of land. It is the expression of the interaction of several different phenomena and processes within the earth's crust and on its surface. Relief has a strong influence on the processes such as climate, hydrology etc. It is also a well-known fact that both natural and accelerated processes of soil erosion are largely dependent upon the nature of local relief. Relief is therefore intimately connected with many of the other elements of landscape resources. Agricultural land use is strongly influenced by the size and shape of the relief forms. The distribution of the area under different elevations is given in Table 1.

About 25 per cent of the basin has an elevation between 100 and 300 meters. Elevation of about 20 to 60 meters occupies 24.3 per cent of the total basin area and 20.3 per cent area is occupied between 60 to 100 meters elevation. The major portion of the district is covered between 20 meters and 300 meters elevation. They are mostly in the midland regions of the district.

3.2 Slope

Many physical attributes vary along a natural slope. Seven categories of the slope are recognized in the Valapattanam basin based on the degree of steepness. Based on the relief and slope, landforms of the area were identified. Plateau edges and high mountain region have steep slopes in the eastern part of the river basin. Many physical and biological processes acting on the landscape are highly correlated with topographic position: A hilltop, valley bottom, exposed ridge, flat plain, upper or lower slope, and so on. Physical processes include soil erosion and deposition. Relief and slope control hydrological balance of the river basin. The slope in the River basin varies from flat to more than 30 degrees (Fig. 3). More than 50 per cent of the basin has a very gentle slope of less than 3-degree slope angle.

About 20 per cent has 3 to 6 degree of slope angle. The next category is the 6 to 12-degree slope which constitutes 14 per cent. The slope angle of 12 to 18 occupies almost 10 per cent and higher slope angles or steep slope constitute a limited area of less than 5 per cent of the total basin area (Table 2). High slope area follows high relief along the hill and plateau margins of the basin.



Fig. 2. Relief of Valapattanam river basin

Table 1. Valapattanam river basin: Relief

Sl. No.	Height above mean sea level mean in metre	Area (sq.km)	Per cent to the basin area
1	Below 20	169.3	13.0
2	20-60	317.4	24.3
3	60-100	264.8	20.3
4	100-300	331.1	25.4
5	300-600	139.5	10.7
6	600-1200	54.3	4.2
7	1200-1800	25.8	2.0
8	Above 1800	3.1	0.2



Fig. 3. Slope of the Valapattanam river basin

Table 2. Valapattanam River basin: Slope

Sl. No.	Slope	Area (sq. km)	Per cent to the basin area
1	>30	3.6	0.3
2	24-30	29.5	2.3
3	18-24	61.7	4.7
4	12-18	119.6	9.2
5	6-12	179.6	13.8
6	3-6	218.5	16.8
7	<3	694.5	53.3

Table 3. Area and percentage under land use / land cover

Sl. No.	Land use/land cover	Area in sq. km	Percentage to the total area
1	Paddy	90.5	6.93
2	Coconut	98.18	7.51
3	Mixed Crops	68.09	5.21
4	Mixed trees	825.09	63.13
5	Rubber	90.13	6.9
6	Forest	79.26	6.06
7	Barren land	20.2	1.55
8	Marshy land	11.73	0.9
9	Waterbody	23.74	1.82

3.3 Land Use / Land Cover

Valapattanam river basin is richly endowed with agricultural resources. Most of the area comes under agricultural land use. Coconut is the dominant crop in the basin followed by rubber, cashew, areca nut, paddy and other crops. Land use/ land cover of the Valapattanam River basin is shown in Fig. 4.

Mixed trees include cashew, pepper, mango, and jack fruit. Mixed crops include banana, plantain, tapioca and other crops. The area under different land use / land cover is given in Table 3. The upper reaches of the Valapattanam River basin are extensively cultivated with plantation cash crops like coffee, and rubber. Tapioca, cashew and pepper occupy the midland regions. At the lower elevations of the river valleys, tapioca, coconut and other tree crops are interspersed with paddy cultivation. The lowland coastal area is dominated by coconut and partly by cashew and paddy. The diversity of tree crops is markedly high at the lowland – midland junction. Conversion of forest area for developmental activity includes plantations in the uplands.

3.4 Relief and Slope and Area under Agricultural Land Use

Relief ranges from zero to above 1800 meters in the basin. The area under paddy is high in the low land region of the basin below 20 meters and less than 3-degree slope with 46.7 per cent. It gradually decreases in the area with an increase in height and steep slopes towards east. Low land comprises of coastal plain and valleys with fertile alluvial soil. Waterbody and marshy areas also found in the low land region.

The area under rubber is low in the lowland region; it is high in the midland region where the slope is more than 18 degrees slope and height ranging from 100 to 300 meters with 43.1 per cent and decreases towards highland where the height is more than 300 meters. Thirty-three per cent of coconut found below 20 meters and 0 to the 3-degree slope.

Twenty-seven per cent of mixed crops found more below 20 m height in the coastal plain and valleys and 78.9 per cent below 3-degree slope areas. Similarly, 27.7 per cent of mixed trees is found more in the midland region compared to the low and high land region between 100 and 300 meters and 48.02 per cent found in less than 3-degree slope.

Forest area is showing an increasing trend from Midland to high land. Barren lands are confined to the midland region.

Graph: 1 shows the relation between agricultural land use and different height categories and Figs. 5 to 12 shows the intersected maps showing the agricultural land use in different relief categories.



Fig. 4. Land use / land cover of Valapattanam river basin



Graph 1. Shows the trend of the area of agricultural land use against relief categories

Valapattanam river basin - relief and area under agricultural land use



Fig. 5. Relief below 20 m. and land use



Fig. 6. Relief 20 - 60 m. and land use



Fig. 7. Relief 60 - 100 m. and land use



Fig. 8. Relief 100 - 300 m. and land use



Fig. 9. Relief 300 - 600 m. and land use



Fig. 10. Relief 600 - 1200 m. and land use



Fig. 11. Relief 1200 - 1800 m. and land use



Fig. 12. Relief above 1800 m. and land use



Graph 2. Shows the trend of the area of agricultural land use against slope categories

Valapattanam river basin - slope and area under agricultural land use



Fig. 13. Slope below 3^0 and land use



Fig. 14. Slope 3^0 - 6^0 and land use



Fig. 15. Slope 6^0 - 12^0 and land use



Fig. 16. Slope 12^0 - 18^0 and land use



Fig. 17. Slope 18^0 - 24^0 and land use



Fig. 18. Slope 24^0 - 30^0 and land use



Fig. 19. Slope above 30° and land use

3.5 Agricultural Land Use and Slope

The correlation between agriculture land use and slope categories were derived from the intersection (Figs. 13 to 19) and the area calculated is depicted in Graph: 2.

4. CONCLUSION

From the study, it is found that relief and slope have control over the distribution pattern of agricultural land use in the Valapattanam River basin. Forty-three per cent of paddy area is found below 20 m height and less than three degrees slope in the coastal plain and valley region because of the deposition of alluvial soil by the streams and rivers. Thirty-three per cent of coconut area also follows the same trend in the basin. Mixed crops occupy 79 per cent below 3-degree slope and 27.3 per cent below 20m height. This is generally seen in the paddy areas because of the economic factor. Twenty-eight per cent of mixed trees found between 100 and 300 m height with 48 per cent terraced slope less than 3 degrees. Forty-three per cent of rubber area found between 100 and 300 meters height and more than 18 degrees slope because rubber needs well-drained soil condition.

The two graphs derived from the analysis explicitly shows a strong correlation between Agricultural land use and relief & slope in the Valapattanam River basin. Agricultural land use data were delineated from the satellite remote sensing data and after intersecting with relief and slope categories, areas were calculated in the GIS environment. This study reveals the advantage of using Satellite Remote sensing data and Geographical Information system over the conventional method of deriving data and calculating areas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is extremely grateful to the Director of National Center for Earth Science Studies,Thiruvanathapuram, for providing the data and facilities for carrying out the study and Dr.R.Anilkumar for his guidance and support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. FAO, Planning for sustainable use of land resources: Towards a new approach, Sombroek WG and Sims D. Land and Water Bulletin 2, FAO, Rome; 1995.
2. Jasbir Singh and Dhillon SS. Agricultural Geography, Tata McGraw-Hill, New Delhi; 2006.
3. Gregor HF, Geography of Agriculture Themes in Research, Prentice Hall.1970;1.
4. Vink APA. Landscape Ecology and Land Use, Springer-verlag, Berlin. 1983;18
5. FAO. A framework for land evaluation. Soils Bulletin 32, FAO, Rome; 1976
6. FAO, Report on the Agro-Ecological Zones project: Methodology and results for South and Central America. World Soil Resources Report 48/3, Rome. 1981;3.
7. FAO, Land evaluation for forestry. Forestry Paper 48, FAO, Rome; 1984.
8. FAO, Guidelines: Land evaluation for irrigated agriculture, Soils Bulletin 55. FAO, Rome; 1985.
9. FAO (1991), Guidelines: land evaluation for extensive grazing. Soils Bulletin 58. FAO, Rome. 150 p. FAO. 1993a. Guidelines for land-use planning. Development Series 1, FAO, Rome.
10. FAO FESLM: An international framework for evaluating sustainable land management, Smyth AJ, Dumanski J (Eds.). World Soil Resources Report 73, FAO, Rome. 1993.
11. FAO, Planning for sustainable use of land resources: Towards a new approach, Sombroek WG, Sims D. Land and Water Bulletin 2, FAO, Rome; 1995.
12. Noor Mohammad. Perspectives in Agricultural Geography: Concept Publishing Company New Delhi. 1981;2.
13. Raju K. NP, Vaidyanadhan. Study of landforms, land use and land units of a part of Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh from aerial photographs, photonirvachak, (jour.Ind.Soc.of photointer), Dehradun. 1977;5(2):41-46.
14. Cooke RV, Doornkamp JC. Geomorphology in environmental management - An introduction. Claredon Press, Oxford; 1978.
15. Brinkman R. Land quality indicators: Aspects of land use, land, soil and plant nutrients, land quality indicators and their use in sustainable agriculture and rural development. Land and Water Bulletin 5, FAO, Rome. 1998;95-104.
16. Nagarathinam V. Terrain evaluation for land use planning – A micro level study in proceedings of International symposium on soil, Geology, landform, impact on land

use planning in developing countries. Bangkok. 1982;7(1):7-26.
17. Burrough PA. Principles of geographical information systems for land resources assessment. Oxford University Press, New York; 1986.
18. Gautam NC. Methodology for land use planning systematic approach. Centre for land use management. Hyderabad pub. 2002;6-77.
19. Gautam NC, Narayan LRA. Landsat MSS data for land use and land cover inventory and mapping: A case study of Andhra Pradesh. Journal of Indian Soc. Remote Sensing. 1983;11(3):15-28.
20. Gautam NCGC Chennaiah. Land use and land cover mapping and change detection in Tripura using satellite landsat Data. Int. Journal of Remote Sensing. 1985;6(3-4):517-528.
21. Rao DP, Gautam NC, Karale RL, Sahai B(). IRS-1A application for land use / land cover mapping in India. Current Sci. 1991;61:153–161.
22. Rao DP, Gautam NC, Nagaraja R, Ram Mohan P. IRS-1C applications in land use mapping and planning. Current Sci. 1996;70:575–581.
23. Sukumar B, Ahalya Sukumar. Mapping wetness and dryness of the surface of the earth through satellite remote sensing in GIS environment: A case study in Kannur district Kerala, Indian Cartographer. 2001; 21.
24. Sukumar B, Ahalya Sukumar. Mapping the disaster-prone areas for managing the disasters: A GIS solution for Kannur District, Kerala, Indian Cartographer. 2007;28.
25. Chattopadhyay S, Salim MB, Sankar G. Integrated environmental assessment for eco-development - A case study of Aralampuzha-Bhavalipuzha drainage basin in Kerala in proceedings of the seminar on Eco-development of Western Ghats, Peechi, Kerala. 1986;266-275.
26. NRSA. Integrated Mission for Sustainable Development (IMSD) — Technical guidelines. National Remote Sensing Agency, Hyderabad; 1995.
27. Anil Kumar R. Landscape ecology and land use pattern of Karamana drainage basin, Kerala. Unpub. PhD thesis, University of Kerala, Kerala; 1996.
28. Bhagat RM, Sood C, Kalia V. Land suitability analysis for cereal production in Himachal Pradesh using GIS. Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing. 2006;37(2):233-240.
29. Bandhyopadhyay S, et al. Assessment of land suitability potentials for agriculture using remote sensing and GIS based approach, Indian Space Research Organization, Bangalore. International Journal of Remote Sensing Archive. 2009 ;30(4):879-895.
30. Jyothirmayi P. Land evaluation for sustainable agriculture in Valapattanam river basin, Kannur District, Kerala. Unpub. PhD Thesis, Kannur University, Kerala; 2013.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48969