



The Future Effects of Multiple Caesarean Section on Women in Ghana

Benjamin Arhin^{a++*}

^a *Livingstone International University for Tourism Excellence and Business Management (LIUTEBM), Lusaka, Zambia.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98786>

Review Article

Received: 15/02/2023

Accepted: 18/04/2023

Published: 27/04/2023

ABSTRACT

According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), when vaginal births pose risks, emergency Caesarean section (CS) is a lifesaver and should be readily accessible in all healthcare settings. However, there are still parts of the world where citizens do not have automatic access to a secure CS. A new study from the WHO indicates that caesarean sections are on the rise worldwide, accounting for more than one in five (21%) births. Immediate complications may include infection, haemorrhage, visceral injury, placenta accrete, and maternal abruption. The primary objective of this study was to investigate the impact of multiple caesarean sections on Ghanaian mothers. This study utilized previously gathered data regarding respiratory tract disorders in children under the age of five. This included previously published papers, dissertations, and internet resources such as websites and books. The systematic procedure describes this technique. Multiple CSs are accompanied by complications. This includes harm to the bowels and bladder, in addition to significant bleeding. Other complications include uterine perforation, infection, haemorrhage, thrombosis, and organ damage. This study suggests increasing public awareness of CS symptoms via mass media (radio, television, and social media) in order to garner family and community support for women experiencing CS.

⁺⁺ Pursuing a Ph.D. in Public Health;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: benjaminarhin258@gmail.com;

Keywords: *Caesarean section; women; Ghana.*

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the WHO, when vaginal births offer risks, emergency CSs are a lifesaver and should be readily available in all healthcare settings [1]. In a CS, the uterus and abdominal wall is cut open to birth the foetus (hysterotomy). In order to perform a caesarean section, the physician must break through all of the barriers between the mother and the foetus. The incision is made through the epidermis and then into the subcutaneous tissues. Fascia, which covers the abdominal muscles (rectus abdominis), is the next layer. There are typically two layers of fascia in the inner abdomen wall. The first layer is made up of the aponeurosis of the external oblique rectus muscle, and the second layer is made up of the aponeuroses of the transverse abdominis and internal oblique muscles that have merged together. The cephalad-caudal rectus muscles are divided so that the physician can access the abdominal region through the parietal peritoneum. The background of the CS dates back hundreds of years. In the past, this procedure was only performed on women who were near death in a last-ditch effort to save their unborn child (or so that the mother's body could be buried separately from her child). In today's world, CS fertility rates continue to rise in both high- and middle-income nations. However, there are still regions of the globe where citizens do not have automatic access to a secure CS. In 2015, the World Health Organization recommended that CS rates no higher than 10% not be linked with reduced maternal or newborn mortality. This disparity and the steep rise in CS rates do not seem to be justified by this statement. When global CS rates are considered, the gravity of the situation becomes clear [2].

A new study from the World Health Organization (WHO) shows that caesarean sections are increasingly common around the world, making up more than 1 in 5 (21%) pregnancies. The study indicates that this trend will continue to rise over the next decade, with caesarean sections accounting for nearly a third (29%) of all deliveries by 2030 [1]. Although caesarean sections are sometimes required and even lifesaving, they should not be done unless absolutely necessary to prevent serious complications for the mother and child [1]. The availability of caesarean sections for pregnant women varies widely across the globe. Only about 5% of births in sub-Saharan Africa are

performed via caesarean section, highlighting a worrying dearth of access to this potentially life-saving procedure in the world's poorest regions. However, this figure rises to nearly half (46%) in Latin America and the Caribbean. There are now more caesarean sections than natural births in five countries: the Dominican Republic, Brazil, Cyprus, Egypt, and Turkey. From about 7% in 1990 to 21% now, the incidence of caesarean sections has increased dramatically, and this trend is expected to continue over the next decade. Eastern Asia (63%), Latin America and the Caribbean (54%), Western Asia (50%), Northern Africa (48%), Southern Europe (47%), and Australia and New Zealand (45%) are predicted to have the greatest rates by 2030 if current trends persist.

There are many challenges that women go through during delivery. One of these challenges is maternal mortality. Most maternal deaths happen during or immediately after birth. Maternal mortality refers to the passing of a pregnant woman or a woman who has had her pregnancy terminated within the first 42 days of life [3]. This meaning is not conditional on the mother's place of residence or the length of her pregnancy. There is no correlation between pregnancy or prenatal care and this complication. However, it doesn't cover unintentional or casual deaths [4]. A staggering 99 percent of all maternal fatalities occur in low and middle-income nations (LMICs). Access to and quality of prenatal care are major variables in reducing maternal mortality rates in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) like Ghana. A weak system of roads and insufficient infrastructure [5]. Choosing a safe and socially acceptable method of childbirth is a major challenge for many mothers. When labor time nears, women must make a difficult decision between a natural birth and a Cesarean section. Women would typically prefer a natural vaginal delivery, but there are a variety of reasons why they might choose an assisted or caesarean section [6].

Low priority of enhancing women's own abilities to give birth, negative effects of common labor interventions, refusal to offer the informed choice of vaginal birth, blasé attitudes towards surgery and variation in professional practice style, limited awareness of harm more likely with CS, and incentives to practice in a manner that is efficient for providers are all factors that contribute to the high rates of CS in countries

with a high prevalence of CD [7]. Socioeconomic status, maternal educational level [8], maternal request [9], and wealth status [7] have also been found to be related to CS. Other factors include age [10], birth order [11], birth weight [12], place of residence, region of residence [7], and socioeconomic status. However, the WHO's minimal acceptable CD rate of 5% is significantly lower than the numbers reported by the vast majority of LMICS. Rates of caesarean delivery (CD) hover around 1% in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) like Niger, Ethiopia, and Madagascar. It is well-established that major barriers to providing CD to women in need exist in most LMICs, including a lack of access to healthcare, a weak healthcare system, inadequate health infrastructure, geographical barriers, cultural factors, poverty, and a lack of human resources [10].

It is widely agreed that all women who need CS should have access to it [13]. It is worth noting that CS does not just improve the mother's health, but the child's as well [14]. Fetal distress, placenta previa, eclampsia, umbilical cord prolapse, threatened uterine rupture, and cephalopelvic disproportion are all absolute and relative signals for CS [15]. Although there are advantages to CS when it is medically necessary, it is important to remember that CS is linked to serious complications like intraoperative and postoperative bleeding and increased risks of maternal mortality, especially in places like sa where obstetric morbidities are extremely high [16]. However, in recent years, planned CS has become increasingly common as an option for vaginal delivery [17]. Women in northern Ghana, for example, prefer vaginal delivery to other birthing techniques because they view it as more secure, more natural, and more suitable for a speedy recovery [18]. In addition, the vaginal is popular among women because of the belief that it facilitates maternal-child attachment. Women chose CS because they believed it was safe, because they wanted a quick and easy delivery free of discomfort and tension, and because they wanted a positive birth experience [19]. Most women now prefer CS to vaginal delivery and the rate at which this is increasing in Ghana is very alarming.

Infection, bleeding, visceral damage, placenta accrete, and maternal abruption are all possible complications in the near term [20]. Asthma and weight are among the long-term risks [20]. Additionally, women with CS have an increased risk of having a second loss, ectopic pregnancy, or stillbirth [21]. In addition, women with a history

of CS are more likely to experience placental accrete, placental abruption, and uterine perforation than vaginal delivery [22]. Nonetheless, there is a lack of research in Ghana into how CS affects maternal physical and mental health issues for mothers and their children in the long run. There are some gaps in knowledge and uncertainty among many obstetricians about the risks associated with numerous caesarean sections, particularly when the number exceeds four, despite the fact that caesarean section is now safer than it has ever been. The main objective of this study is to examine the effect of multiple caesarean section on mothers in Ghana.

2. METHODS

Research methods may be considered as the tactics, processes, or techniques that are employed in the process of gathering data or evidence for the goal of analysis in order to either develop new information or a deeper understanding of a topic. This study utilized previously collected information on respiratory tract illnesses in children under the age of five. This includes previously published papers, dissertations, and internet resources such as books and websites. This technique is referred to as the systematic method. A "systematic review" is a special type of review that use a predefined and methodical technique to collect and assess data in order to reach conclusions on research issues. The term "systematic review" refers to a certain review method. A systematic review is a comprehensive analysis and synthesis of the relevant published literature on a specific topic or clinical issue. Alternatively known as a meta-analysis. For the purpose of enhancing scientific writing, a step-by-step method for doing a systematic review has been presented. Not only can systematic reviews provide the evidence foundation for knowledge translation products such as patient decision aids, clinical practice guidelines, and policy briefs, but they can also help decision-makers better comprehend the conclusions of individual research in the context of the overall data. Rapid review, a subset of systematic review, was employed in this investigation. Rapid reviews can be considered a sort of knowledge synthesis in order to provide information in a timely way. Certain components of the procedure for performing systematic reviews are either shortened or eliminated in quick reviews. During the search for data, the keywords; Caesarean section, Women, and Ghana were the main focus.

Table 1. Summary of the research articles, books, internet resources, and data

No	Topic	Author(s)	Year	Country	Method	Results
1	<i>"Medical and non-medical reasons for cesarean section delivery in Egypt: a hospital-based retrospective study"</i>	Shatha Elnakib, Nahla Abdel-Tawab, Doaa Orbay & Nevine Hassanein	2019	Egypt	Medical records for all births that occurred in April 2016 in 13 public hospitals across four governorates in Egypt (Cairo, Alexandria, Assiut, and Behera) were examined, and data on medical reasons and obstetric features of the mothers giving birth were retrieved.	Several obstetric risk variables, such as prior CS, maternal age, and nulliparity, were found to raise the chances of CS method of delivery in multilevel analysis, while others, such as partograph completion and oxytocin use, were found to decrease the odds of CS. Non-medical variables, such as a convenience incentive, a lack of oversight and training in public institutions, and a lack of familiarity with clinical standards were identified through interviews with obstetricians as contributing to the high CS rates.
2	<i>"Women's perspectives on caesarean section recovery, infection, and the PREPS trial: a qualitative pilot study"</i>	Annalise Weckesser, Nicola Farmer, Rinita Dam, Amie Wilson, Victoria Hodgetts Morton & R. Katie Morris	2019	London	Between September and October of 2017, 21 women who had a CS within the previous six months participated in two discussion groups and six telephone interviews using qualitative methods.	After CS, women cared most about pain management, movement, and getting back to regular life, including caring. First-time CS patients reported lacking confidence in their ability to recognize the early warning symptoms of infection and sought the advice and support of visiting health experts. Many women said they did not receive enough guidance on how to avoid infections, and they couldn't remember if they'd been given any.
3	<i>"Caesarean delivery and its association with educational attainment, wealth index, and place of residence in Sub-Saharan Africa: a meta-analysis"</i>	Md.Akhtarul Islam, NusratJahanSathi, Md. Tanvir Hossain, Abdul Jabbar, Andre M. N. Renzaho & Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam	2022	Sub-Saharan Africa	A meta-analysis	Sub-regional meta-analyses yielded similar findings. According to a meta-regression analysis, there is a statistically significant negative relationship between the proportion of births attended by skilled health workers (TPBASHS) and the use of caesarean sections across demographics of respondent and spouse schooling, location, and income.
4	<i>"Caesarean section rates continue to rise, amid growing inequalities in access"</i>	World Health Organisation	2021	Worldwide	Qualitative study	More than one-fifth (21%) of all deliveries are now performed via caesarean section, a statistic that continues to increase worldwide. The study indicates that this trend will continue to rise over the next decade, with caesarean sections accounting for nearly a third (29%) of all deliveries by 2030.
5	<i>"Caesarean section epidemic: Tackling the rise of unnecessary cuts"</i>	Renata Josi	2019	Worldwide	Literature review	There has been a rise of CS around the world
6	<i>"Cesarean Section"</i>	Sharon Sung; Heba Mahdy.	2022	Worldwide	Literature review	The choices taken during a caesarean section have long-lasting consequences for the mother and her family. Evidence-based preoperative and intraoperativetreatment for women requiring a

No	Topic	Author(s)	Year	Country	Method	Results
7	<i>"Not just numbers: beyond counting caesarean deliveries to understanding their determinants in Ghana using a population-based cross-sectional study"</i>	Abdul-Aziz Seidu, John Elvis Hagan Jr., Wonder Agbemavi, Bright OpokuAhinkorah, Edmond BanafoNartey, Eugene Budu, Francis Sambah& Thomas Schack	2020	Ghana	Statistics from the Ghana Demographic and Health Survey in 2014 were analyzed for this research. Only women who had given birth in a medical facility within the previous five years (n = 2752) were included in the study. Using a binary logistic regression, we calculated adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and 95% confidence intervals to examine the relationship between CD and its potential causes.	caesarean section are discussed, along with the importance of the interdisciplinary team. Nearly one-fifth (18.5%) of all births involved a caesarean section (CS). Women between the ages of 45 and 49 had a greater likelihood of giving birth via CS (AOR = 10.5, 95% CI = 3.0 to 37.4), and those who reside in households headed by women had a higher likelihood of giving birth via CS (AOR = 1.3, 95% CI = 1.1 to 1.7). Lower chances of CS delivery were observed among women living in the Upper East (AOR = 0.4; 95% CI = 0.2-0.7) and Upper West (AOR = 0.4; 95% CI = 0.2-0.8). The adjusted odds ratio for CD among women who had four or more children was lower than among those who had only one (AOR = 0.3; 95% CI = 0.2-0.5). The likelihood of a CS delivery was reduced for women expecting a female child (AOR = 0.8; CI = 0.7-0.9) compared to women expecting a male child.
8	<i>"Knowledge Construction of Caesarean Section among women in Northern Ghana"</i>	Joana Amike, AdadowYidana	2022	Ghana	Women living in the Tamale Metropolitan Area were the subjects of detailed cross-sectional research conducted at a local hospital. A phenomenological approach was used for the research. Women who had a Caesarean section were the subjects of four separate study groups.	Many people understood Caesarean Section risks and impacts. The study hospital's emergency cases prevented caesarean section veterans from declining. Friends and family affected caesarean segment beliefs. Cesarean sections were avoided due to fear of complications, uncertainty about pain during and after the operation, and the fact that they are not normal. As a result of conditioning, Caesarean-sectioned women are mocked in their societies.
9	<i>"Inequalities in the prevalence of birth by caesarean section in Ghana from 1998-2014"</i>	Joshua Okyere, Henry OforiDuah, Abdul-Aziz Seidu, Bright OpokuAhinkorah& Eugene Budu	2022	Ghana	CS examined birth disparity data from the 1998-2014 Ghana Demographic and Health Surveys (GDHS) using the WHO Health Equity Assessment Toolkit (HEAT) software. We first disaggregated birth by CS by wealth index, schooling, residence, and area. Second, we assessed inequality using basic	Results suggest that more work is needed to provide maternity care to all subpopulations that need medically required CS to reduce maternal and perinatal deaths. We recommend CS only when medically necessary due to its risks.

No	Topic	Author(s)	Year	Country	Method	Results
					unweighted measures (Difference (D) and Ratio (R)) and complex weighted measures (Population Attributable Risk (PAR) and Population Attributable Fraction (PAF)). Point values had a 95% confidence range for statistical significance.	
10	<i>"Factors influencing preference of birth method among pregnant women: a descriptive cross-sectional study in a tertiary health facility in Ghana"</i>	Judith A. Anaman-Torgbor, Kennedy DiemaKonlan, Emmanuella Owusu and Bright Gbagbo	2022	Ghana	Descriptive cross-sectional study	Women are more likely to choose SVD for future pregnancies if they have already given birth via SVD, and this probability increases with increasing parity.
11	<i>"Long-term effects of caesarean delivery on health and behavioral outcomes of the mother and child in Bangladesh"</i>	Mostafizur Rahman, Nuruzzaman Khan, Aminur Rahman, Mahmudul Alam & Alam Khan	2022	Bangladesh	Community-based case-control study from May to August 2019. A systematic questionnaire was used to survey 600 mother-child dyads, 300 of which had CS and 300 VD in their most recent live births. The exposure variable was the mode of delivery: 1 for CS, and 0 for VD. Health and behavioral issues of moms and toddlers were the outcome factors. After adjusting for variables, a multivariate or multiple logistic regression model was used to assess the impact of the exposure variable on the outcome variable for each health and behavioral result.	The average mother was 53.1 kilograms and 25.1 years old. Mothers who had CS rather than VD during their most recent live birth were more likely to experience headaches, hip discomfort after delivery, difficulty with everyday tasks, and difficulties breastfeeding. Similarly, parents of children delivered via CS reported that their children had more difficulty breathing, were sick more often, ate less, and slept less than average.

Source: Compiled by the author

The table above provides a summary of the research articles, books, internet resources, and data utilized by the study to reach its conclusions. This table will help get in summary, what other writers say about the long time effect of CS based on their works on CS.

3. DISCUSSION

This section will discuss caesarean section and some findings from authors on the long-term effect of multiple caesarean sections on women in Ghana. From the table above, many writers have spoken about caesarean section as an alternative means of child delivery. Shatha Elnakib, Nahla Abdel-Tawab, Doaa Orbay & NevineHassanein studied the medical and non-medical reasons for cesarean section delivery in Egypt [23]. The study concluded that the worldwide increase in CS has complex causes, some of which are related to medical practice and others that are purely socioeconomic [23]. Increased CS rates are attributable to a number of factors, including but not limited to changes in women's risk characteristics, an ostensible rise in medical indications, and non-medical reasons such as social, societal, and economic factors. The "physician factor," which places blame on physicians and hospitals rather than maternal risk factors, has also been linked to rising CS rates [24].

It was also concluded in one study [25], after CS, women cared most about pain management, movement, and getting back to regular life, including caring. First-time CS patients reported lacking confidence in their ability to recognize the early warning symptoms of infection and sought the advice and support of visiting health experts. Many women said they didn't receive enough guidance on how to avoid infections, and they couldn't remember if they'd been given any. There were reports of people getting varying quality basic information about CS rehabilitation. The PREPS study aims to reduce the chance of uterine infection after caesarean section, but most women are unaware that this is a concern [25]. To avoid these probable future complications of CS, one study [26] suggested that, in order to reduce maternal and new-born mortality rates in remote, ignorant, and economically disadvantaged areas of Sub-Saharan Africa, it is crucial to provide access to suitable lifesaving mechanisms, such as C-section delivery opportunities, through adequate facilities.

Nevertheless, other writers suggest that the choices taken during a caesarean section have

long-lasting consequences for the mother and her family [27]. More effort is needed to guarantee that all subpopulations that require medically required CS have access to maternity care in order to decrease maternal and perinatal mortality. This is because women are more likely to choose vaginal delivery for future pregnancies if they have already given birth via vaginal delivery, and this probability increases with increasing parity. More antenatal education on the various birthing options and the health advantages of nurses is necessary so that future mothers can make well-informed decisions [28].

In all, the writers examine CS, its benefits, and its future consequences. Now let's look at the future effect of multiple CS on women in Ghana. Nevertheless, [29] with the exception of the incidence of intra-abdominal adhesions, it appears that having four or more CSs does not raise the risk of maternal complications. It is important to remember that CS is an operative birth with risks such as uterine rupture, infection, haemorrhage, thrombosis, and peripheral organ injury, even though there is no notable difference in severe morbidity linked with MRSC. In order to advise ladies on the optimal amount of CSs, more research is necessary [29]. The danger of problems rises as the number of CSs performed rises. Research [30] found that adhesions and intraoperative bleeding were the most prevalent consequences.

The Health University of Utah conducted research on multiple CSs. The study found that C-sections are considered major procedures by medical professionals. If this is not your first effective surgery, you know how long it can take to get back to normal. Possible side effects of a caesarean section included damage to the bowels and bladder, as well as significant bleeding. When the placenta inserts itself close to the incision from a caesarean section, this is known as placenta accreta. If it remains undetected, you could die from excessive bleeding during a subsequent birth. Half of all deaths were attributed to accreta in the past. Thankfully, today most women do not face an especially high mortality rate. It is recommended to give birth in a hospital with physicians familiar with accreta [31].

The above analyses have shown that, there are complications when it comes to multiple CSs. These includes damage to the bowels and bladder, as well as significant bleeding. Others

include uterine rupture, infection, haemorrhage, thrombosis, and peripheral organ injury.

4. CONCLUSION

The history of the caesarean section (CS) in human culture is extensive. Caesarean section (CS) is an intervention to reduce maternal and perinatal mortality for pregnancies and labour complicated by complications. In Ghana, there has been an increase in CS because women prefer it to vaginal birth. Despite the benefits of CSs, there are numerous disadvantages associated with multiple CSs. This study recommends that there should be an increase in public awareness of CS signs through mass media (radio, TV, social media) to garner family and community support for women enduring CS.

5. FUNDING

No funding was provided to the authors of this study at any stage, not during research, not during writing, and not during publication.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organisation, "WHO," 16 June 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.who.int/news/item/16-06-2021-caesarean-section-rates-continue-to-rise-amid-growing-inequalities-in-access>.
2. WHO, "WHO," 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/275387/WHO-RHR-18.24-eng.pdf?ua=1>.
3. Manyeh AK, Amu A, Akpakli DE, Williams J, Gyapong M. Socioeconomic and demographic factors associated with cesarean section delivery in Southern Ghana: evidence from INDEPTH Network member site. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18(1):405.
4. Ugwu NU, de Kok B. Sociocultural factors, gender roles and religious ideologies contributing to Caesarian-section refusal in Nigeria. *Reprod Health*. 2015;12:70.
5. Boz İ, Teskereci G, Akman G. How did you choose a mode of birth? Experiences of nulliparous women from Turkey. *Women Birth*. 2016;29(4):359-67.
6. Betrán AP, Ye J, Moller AB, Zhang J, Gülmezoglu AM, Torloni MR. The increasing trend in Caesarean section rates: global, regional and national estimates: 1990-2014. *PLOS ONE*. 2016;11(2):e0148343.
7. Amjad A, Amjad U, Zakar R, Usman A, Zakar MZ, Fischer F. Factors associated with caesarean deliveries among child-bearing women in Pakistan: secondary analysis of data from the demographic and health survey, 2012-13. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18(1):113.
8. Harrison MS, Goldenberg RL. Cesarean section in sub-Saharan Africa. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol*. 2016;2:6.
9. Tunçalp O, Stanton C, Castro A, Adanu R, Heymann M, Adu-Bonsaffoh K et al. Measuring coverage in MNCH: validating women's self-report of emergency cesarean sections in Ghana and the Dominican Republic. *PLOS ONE*. 2013;8(5):e60761.
10. Al Rifai RH. The trend of caesarean deliveries in Egypt and its associated factors: evidence from national surveys, 2005-2014. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):417.
11. Manyeh AK, Amu A, Akpakli DE, Williams J, Gyapong M. Socioeconomic and demographic factors associated with caesarean section delivery in Southern Ghana: evidence from INDEPTH network member site. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18(1):405.
12. World Health Organization, "World health statistics.," 2010. [Online]. Available: http://www.who.int/whosis/whostat/EN_WHS10_Full.pdf.
13. Boerma T, Ronsmans C, Melesse DY, Barros AJD, Barros FC, Juan L et al. Global epidemiology of use of and disparities in caesarean sections. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10155):1341-8.
14. Bishop D, Dyer RA, Maswime S, Rodseth RN, van Dyk D, Kluys HL et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes after caesarean delivery in the African Surgical Outcomes Study a 7-day prospective observational cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2019;7(4):e513-22.

15. Yaya S, Uthman OA, Amouzou A, Bishwajit G. Disparities in caesarean section prevalence and determinants across sub-Saharan Africa countries. *Glob Health Res Policy*. 2018;3:19.
16. Dikete M, Coppieters Y, Trigaux P, Fils J, Englert Y. Variation of caesarean section rates in sub-Saharan Africa: a literature review. *J Gynecol Res Obstet*. 2019; 5(2):42-7.
17. Belizán JM, Minckas N, McClure EM, Saleem S, Moore JL, Goudar SS et al. An approach to identify a minimum and rational proportion of caesarean sections in resource-poor settings: a global network study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(8):e894-901.
18. Walana W, Acquah S, Ziem J, Vicar E. Preference of birth delivery modes among women attending antenatal and postnatal clinics in the tamale metropolis of Ghana. *J Pregnancy Child Health*; 2017.
19. Diema KK, Baku E, Japiong M, Dodam Konlan K, Amoah R. Reasons for women's choice of elective caesarian section in Duayaw Nkwanta Hospital. *J Pregnancy*; 2019.
20. Keag OE, Norman JE, Stock SJ. Long-term risks and benefits associated with cesarean delivery for mother, baby, and subsequent pregnancies: systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS Med*. 2018;15(1):e1002494.
21. Bowman ZS, Smith KR, Silver RM. Cesarean delivery and risk for subsequent ectopic pregnancy. *Am J Perinatol*. 2015;32(9):815-20.
22. Jackson S, Fleege L, Fridman M, Gregory K, Zelop C, Olsen J. Morbidity following primary cesarean delivery in the Danish National Birth Cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;206(2):139.e1-5.
23. Elnakib S, Abdel-Tawab N, Orbay D, Hassanein N. Medical and non-medical reasons for cesarean section delivery in Egypt: a hospital-based retrospective study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1):411.
24. Oner C, Catak B, Sütlü S, Kiliç S. Effect of social factors on cesarean birth in Primiparous women: a cross sectional study (social factors and cesarean birth). *Iran J Public Health*. 2016;45(6): 768-73.
25. Weckesser A, Farmer N, Dam R, Wilson A, Morton VH, Morris RK. Women's perspectives on caesarean section recovery, infection and the PREPS trial: a qualitative pilot study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1):245.
26. Akhtarul I, Nusrat JS, Tanvir H, Abdul J, Andre MNR, Mohammed SI. Cesarean delivery and its association with educational attainment, wealth index, and place of residence in Sub-Saharan Africa: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2012.
27. Okyere J, Duah HO, Seidu AA, Ahinkorah BO, Budu E. Inequalities in prevalence of birth by caesarean section in Ghana from 1998-2014. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2022;22(1):64.
28. Sharon S, Heba M. Cesarean section. *National Library of Medicine*; 2022.
29. Biler A, Ekin A, Ozcan A, Inan AH, Vural T, Toz E. Is it safe to have multiple repeat cesarean sections? A high volume tertiary care center experience. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2017;33(5):1074-9.
30. Alshehri KA, Ammar AA, Aldhubabian MA, Al-Zanbaqi MS, Felimban AA, Alshuaibi MK et al. Outcomes and complications after repeat Cesarean sections among King Abdulaziz University Hospital patients. *Mater Sociomed*. 2019;31(2):119-24.
31. H. U. o. Utah, "MULTIPLE C-SECTIONS," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://healthcare.utah.edu/womenshealth/pregnancy-birth/multiple-c-sections>.

© 2023 Arhin; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98786>